

[Attribution 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0/)

BioScientific Review (BSR)

Volume 4 Issue 1, 2022 ISSN(P): 2663-4198 ISSN(E): 2663-4201 Journal DOI: <https://doi.org/10.32350/bsr> Issue DOI: <https://doi.org/10.32350/bsr.0401> Homepage:<https://journals.umt.edu.pk/index.php/bsr>

NTER

The Department of Life Sciences, School of Science University of Management and Technology, Lahore, Pakistan

Selenium Resistant *Bacilli* **and** *Pseudomonas* **as Potential Candidate for Selenium and Iron Biofortification in Maize Plants**

Zain ul Abadin, Muhammad Faisal*

Department of Microbiology and Molecular Genetics, Quaid-e-Azam Campus, University of the Punjab, Lahore-54590, Pakistan *Corresponding author: Tel 0924235952811, E-mail: faisal.mmg@pu.edu.pk

1. Introduction

Selenium is an essential element with an extensive range of biological functions. They have catalytic, structural, and regulatory roles in living organisms. Selenium in the form of Selenoamino acid is incorporated into the proteins to assist other enzymes and hormones in physiological and biochemical reactions. More than 30 such selenium-containing proteins have been identified in living organisms, including glutathione peroxidase, thioredoxine reductase, 5 iodothyronine deiodinase, and selenoprotein P. These proteins help in reduction-oxidation homeostasis, thyroid hormone activation, protection from reactive oxygen species, and removal of heavy metals and their toxic effects [\[1\]](#page-12-0). In addition to the above roles, selenium is used as a supplement to increase the productivity of farm animals and poultry.

⁴⁴ Department of Life Sciences

Selenium is required in trace amounts in the body. Daily consumption of less than 30 µg of selenium results in deficiency, whereas intake of greater than 400 µg causes toxicity in humans. Conversely, selenium intake of 100 μg/kg dry mass is recommended for cattle [\[2\]](#page-12-1). Iron is another micronutrient that is essential for living organisms. Iron in the body performs a large number of biological functions. Ironcontaining proteins control the transport of oxygen, DNA synthesis, electron transport chain, and cell cycle progression. Iron deficiency is highly prevalent globally; more than 1.2 billion people are affected by anemia due to iron deficiency [\[3\]](#page-12-2). Hemoglobin and myoglobin are the two proteins with iron as the central functioning unit. Iron deficiency anemia is also prevalent in young calves due to low iron in milk, which is the only food source for them, while fodder is the major source of iron for cows [\[4\]](#page-12-3). Maize is one of the most important crops in the world. In 2020-21, the volume of corn production reached 1,125 million metric tons [\[5\]](#page-12-4). Maize is used to produce grain and fodder for human and animal consumption. In Pakistan, it is the third most important cereal crop after rice and wheat. It serves a multipurpose role in Pakistan, since it is used as food, feed, and fodder. In the last five years, the area of maize cultivation showed a rise of 5%; whereas, its production increased by 38% during the same period $[6]$. Maize is a nutritive fodder with a high amount of starch, proteins, oil, and fiber, so its use as fodder is increasing significantly in Pakistan. Punjab and KPK are the major producers of maize. The concentration of trace elements, such as iron and selenium, in plants depends on bioavailable ions in the soil. This bioavailability in the soil is affected by physiological factors such as pH, cation exchange capacity and redox potential [\[7\]](#page-12-6). Biofortification is the strategy to improve the nutritional status of crops and animal feed. The most common source of these trace elements is the plants, followed by meat [\[8\]](#page-12-7). So, in order to alleviate iron and selenium deficiency in humans and animals, crops must be biofortified with these elements. Fertilizers, plant breeding, biotechnological approaches, and beneficial microbes are used for biofortification. Research groups have reported biofortification of maize with zinc [\[9\]](#page-12-8), selenium [\[10\]](#page-12-9), provitamin A [\[11\]](#page-12-10), and iron $[12]$. Fertilizers are expensive and lead to problems, such as eutrophication; whereas, plant breeding and biotechnological approaches need many studies before application in the field. In such conditions, microbe assisted biofortification can be applied for better yield. Millions of microbes are present in soil and are significant for plants. Freeliving or symbiotic bacteria that stimulate plant growth directly or indirectly are called PGPB (Plant growth-promoting bacteria) $[13]$. These microbes assist plants in growth by different mechanisms such as IAA production, ammonia production, soil reclamation, suppression of pathogenic microorganisms, nutrient mobilization, and phosphate solubilization [\[14\]](#page-12-13). These microbes are an essential tool for producing biofortified crops. Many microbes have been used for producing biofortified foods, such as Bacillus *altitudinis* WR10 for iron fortification of wheat $[15]$, zinc solubilizing bacteria BMRR126 and BMAR64 for zinc fortification of rice, and endophytic selenobacteria for selenium biofortification in *Glycine max* [\[16\]](#page-13-1)*.* This study aimed to isolate selenium resistant bacteria from soil contaminated Subsequently, the bacteria are characterized morphologically, physiologically, biochemically and phylogenetically. Next, the bacteria are screened for PGPB characteristics and their

effect on maize plant growth, selenium content, and iron content of these plants.

2. Methodology

In the current study, selenium-resistant bacteria were isolated from soil samples collected from banks of waste drains and fields contaminated with insecticide (Figure 1). These bacteria were classified on a biochemical and molecular basis. PGP characters, such as IAA production, phosphate solubilization, HCN production, and ammonia production, were studied. Greenhouse experiments were performed by inoculating these isolated bacteria to the maize seeds. Growth parameters, such as seed germination, shoot length, and dry weight, were also studied.

2.1. Isolation of Bacteria from Soil

Five soil samples were collected from the citrus research institute, Sargodha (32°07'04.2"N 72°40'36.2"E) contaminated with insecticides and pesticides. These soil samples were transferred to the Institute of Microbiology and Molecular Genetics in sterile canisters. A 1g soil sample was diluted in distilled water and spread on LB

agar plates. Well isolated colonies were further purified for assessing selenium resistance.

2.2. Qualitative and Quantitative Screening of Selenium Resistance

For qualitative screening of selenium resistance, isolated bacteria were streaked onto LB agar plates supplemented with 5mM sodium selenite. Only selenium resistant bacteria were able to grow on the supplemented agar, which formed characteristic red coloured colonies. These bacteria were further used to conduct a quantitative analysis by finding minimum inhibitory concentration, beyond which bacteria were unable to grow. LB broth tubes were prepared with sodium selenite concentrations 10, 20, 40, 80, 160, 320, 640, and 1280 mM, respectively.

2.3. Characterization of Isolates

Isolated strains were further classified based on morphological, physiological, biochemical, and molecular characteristics. Gram staining, catalase, oxidase, IMViC, starch hydrolysis and sugar fermentation tests were performed. After biochemical characterization, isolated strains were sent to Macrogen for partial 16S rDNA sequencing by NGS. Sequences with maximum homology were aligned using Clustal W, while the Neighbor-joining method was used to infer their evolutionary history [\[17\]](#page-13-2). MEGA X was used for this evolutionary analysis $[18]$.

2.4. In-vitro Screening of Selenium Resistant Bacteria for Plant Growth Promotion

Plant growth-promoting traits such as Auxin bio-synthesis, Phosphate solubilization, Ammonia production, and HCN production were studied for the isolated strains. For IAA production, Auxin biosynthesis method described by Patten and Glick [\[19\]](#page-13-4) was utilized. Overnight

fresh cultures were inoculated into DF salts minimal medium with and without Ltryptophan. After 42 hours of incubation supernatant from respective media was mixed with Salkowski's reagent. After 20 minutes of incubation, absorbance was measured at 535 nm wavelength. For phosphate solubilization, Gaur's method [\[20\]](#page-13-5) was used with little modification. Fresh bacterial culture was streaked onto Pikovskaya's medium and incubated at 37 °C for 7 days. At the end of incubation, agar plates were observed for a clear zone surrounding the bacterial colonies. For Ammonia production, a method described by James and Natalie [\[21\]](#page-13-6) was used. Bacterial cultures were inoculated in peptone water and incubated at 28 °C for 72 hours. After incubation, the culture was mixed with Nessler's reagent and colour change was observed. Brown to yellow color change indicated ammonia production due to bacterial culture. Lorck's method [\[22\]](#page-13-7) was used to conduct the the HCN production test.

2.5. Pot Experiment in Green House

YH-1898 variety of *Zea mays* was obtained from Punjab Seed Corporation, Lahore, Pakistan for pot experiment. These experiments were conducted in the agricultural area of the Institute of Microbiology and Molecular Genetics at the University of the Punjab, Lahore (31.4932° N, 74.2972° E). These experiments started in March 2017. Eight kg of natural garden soil was filled in pots of diameter 12" and height 14". Bacterial cultures were inoculated in flasks containing 100 ml LB broth and incubated in a shaking incubator at 37 °C for 24 hours at 150 rpm. OD value of the culture was adjusted to 1 at a wavelength of 600 nm as mentioned by Yasin et al. [\[23\]](#page-13-8). This culture was then used to soak surface sterilized $(01\% \text{ HgCl}_2)$ maize seeds. After preincubation of 20 min, these seeds were transferred to their respective pots. Pots were watered regularly, every second day, with 500 ml of water per pot. Similarly, for Selenium supplementation, sodium selenate, equivalent to 3 mg Se kg^{-1} of soil, was added to each pot. For the Second Se supplementation, one liter of 300 μ M sodium selenate solution, equivalent to 3 mg Se kg-1 of soil, was added to each pot after spike formation as described by Yasin et al. [\[23\]](#page-13-8).

2.6. Iron and Selenium Estimation

After 15 weeks of cultivation, plants were harvested and oven-dried at 50 °C until no further change in the mass was observed. These dried samples were then processed for acid digestion. 5 grams of maize leaves and stem were crushed in mortar and pestle to form a fine flour. One gram of this flour was soaked in 5ml of concentrated HNO₃ and left overnight. The next day mixture was warmed at 90 °C for 2 hours until no further brown fumes were released. Then, 4:1 mixture of $HNO₃$ and $HClO₄$ was added. This mixture was heated until white fumes of perchloric acid were released and the reduction of volume was up to 1ml. The remaining solution was diluted up to 10 ml with distilled deionized water. Subsequently, this digest was analyzed for Se content on HGAAS (Agilent 240AA) and Fe on AAS (Agilent 240AA).

2.7. Statistical Analysis

GraphPad Prism version 6.01 was used to perform variance analysis (one way). Oneway ANOVA was followed by Dunnett's multiple comparisons test, which was used to determine significant differences between means. Normal distribution and equal variance were checked before the analysis.

3. Results

3.1. Isolation and Screening of Selenium Resistance

A total of 15 strains were isolated from 5 soil samples. These strains were purified and stored at low temperatures. These strains were further streaked on LB agar plates supplemented with selenite. Three of the strains were able to grow in the presence of selenite and formed intense red colonies. To determine MIC, these twelve strains were inoculated to LB broth amended with Na₂SO₃. All the strains were able to tolerate a 40mM concentration of selenite salt. The highest tolerance was shown by TM3, which was able to grow at 320mM of selenite in broth.

3.2. Biochemical and Molecular Identification

Three of the isolates which were able to grow in the presence of selenite were further characterized on the morphological, physiological, biochemical, and molecular basis. Two of the isolates were grampositive, rod-shaped, and spore-forming; whereas, one strain was gram negative rod and non-spore-forming (Table 1). All three strains were catalase, oxidase, and citrate positive, but for indole test, they were negative (Table 2).

Table 1: Morphological characterization of isolated strains

48 Department of Life Sciences

Table 3: Plant growth promotion attributes of isolates

Strains	Plant growth promoting characteristics			
	Phosphate solubilization	IAA production	Ammonia production	HCN production
TM ₅				
TM7				
TM3				

Molecular identification was performed to identify species. BLAST results showed 99% strain homology TM-3 with *Bacillus halotolerans,* TM-5 with *Pseudomonas protegens*, and TM-7 with *Bacillus endophyticus.* Sequences of these strains

were submitted to the NCBI database (accession numbers MT766904, MT767109, and MT767110). A phylogenetic tree of the obtained sequences was constructed, and each strain was branched with its respective group (Figure 2).

Figure 2: Phylogenetic analysis. Neighbor-joining tree of 16S rRNA gene sequence of bacterial isolate TM3, TM5 and TM7.Tree was constructed using MEGA X (boot-strap 500 replicates).

3.3. Plant Growth Promoting Traits

Bacterial isolates were screened to four plant growth-promoting characters such as IAA production, phosphate solubilization, ammonia production, and HCN production. All the isolated strains were able to produce ammonia, confirmed by the appearance of brown colour on the addition of Nessler's reagent to peptone water inoculated with bacteria. Strain TM3 *Bacillus halotolerans* and TM-7 *Bacillus endophyticus* were able to solubilize phosphate; whereas, Strain TM5*- Pseudomonas protegens* lacked enzymes that can solubilize phosphate. Strain TM-7 *Bacillus endophyticus* was unable to produce IAA, while strain TM5*- Pseudomonas protegens* and *TM3 Bacillus halotolerans* produced IAA in vitro. Similarly, two of the isolates, *TM3 Bacillus halotolerans,* and TM7*-Bacillus endophyticus* were positive for HCN production, while TM5*-Pseudomonas protegens* were negative for HCN production.

3.4. Effect on Plant Growth by the Isolated Strains

Percentage seed germination, plant dry weight, and shoot length of the maize plants in the presence and absence of bacteria were compared. Seed germination in pots inoculated with TM3*-Bacillus halotolerans* was significantly higher than the control pots; whereas, no change in seed germination was observed for strain TM5*- Pseudomonas protegens*. TM3*-Bacillus halotolerans* increased shoot length by 56% and TM7*-Bacillus endophyticus* increased it by 36%; whereas, TM5*-Pseudomonas protegens* resulted in a 7% increase when inoculated in pots containing natural garden soil. A slight decrease in shoot length was observed when garden soil was supplemented with sodium selenite. On the other hand, inoculation of isolates mitigated the toxic effect of selenium and enhanced shoot length. This increase was 52% for TM3*- Bacillus halotolerans*, 30% for TM7*- Bacillus endophyticus*, and 5% for TM5*- Pseudomonas protegens*. There was a significant rise in the dry weight for the pots inoculated with TM3*-Bacillus halotolerans* and TM7*-Bacillus endophyticus*; however, TM5*- Pseudomonas protegens* showed a 22% decrease in pots with natural garden soil. The addition of selenium decreased plant dry weight by 7%; however inoculation of bacterial isolates to the selenium supplemented pots resulted in the rise of dry weight for strains TM3*-Bacillus halotolerans* and TM7*-Bacillus endophyticus* by 44% and 40%, respectively (Figure 3).

Figure 3: Pot experiment results. (A)Percentage seed germination (B) shoot length in pots grown in pots supplemented with selenium (C) shoot length in pots grown in pots with natural garden soil (D) plant dry weight in control and selenium supplemented soil.

3.5. Selenium and Iron Levels in Maize Leaves and Shoot

Selenium content in maize leaves and the shoot was measured by HGAAS. Selenium content in maize plants was lower in pots with natural garden soil. Conversely, a significant rise in selenium content was observed in selenium supplemented pots. The addition of selenium resulted in a 285% and 371% increase in the selenium content of shoot and leaves, respectively. Inoculation of the strains resulted in a 6-8 % rise in the selenium content of shoots and leaves. On the other hand, inoculation of strain TM7*-Bacillus endophyticus* resulted in a decrease in selenium content in the maize shoot. Similarly, inoculation of strain TM3*-Bacillus halotolerans* resulted in a decrease in selenium content in maize leaves (Figure 4).

Figure 4: Selenium and Iron estimation in maize leaves and shoot (A) Selenium content of maize leaves in control and inoculated pots (B)Selenium content in maize shoot (C) selenium content of maize shoot in pots with natural soil (D) Iron content of maize leaves

Iron content in maize leaves was measured by AAS. Iron content showed an 8 % increase in pots supplemented with selenium as compared to pots with natural garden soil. Inoculation of strain TM7*- Bacillus endophyticus* and TM3*-Bacillus halotolerans* further increased iron content by 17% and 13%, respectively. However, inoculation of strain TM5*-Pseudomonas protegens* resulted in a 9% decrease in the iron content of maize leaves (Figure 4).

52 Department of Life Sciences

4. Discussion

Biofortification of selenium is the most important strategy used to reduce selenium deficiency in the population. It is preferred to food supplementation since organic forms of selenium in biofortified food are more bioavailable than supplemented ions. The addition of selenium to animal feed can produce beneficial effects on their health, performance, fertility, and meat quality [\[24\]](#page-13-9). A large number of studies reported higher selenium content in meat when animals were provided with selenium in different forms $[25, 26]$ $[25, 26]$. Maize is a food and feed crop that can be used to improve selenium status in both humans and animals. Previous studies have reported that biofortified maize acts as a tool to reduce mineral deficiency in different populations [\[27,](#page-13-12) [28\]](#page-13-13).

In this study, soil samples were collected from the citrus research institute Sargodha. Microbes were isolated from these samples. Physiological, morphological, biochemical, and molecular characteristics showed that these strains belong to *Bacillus halotolerans* (TM3), *Pseudomonas*

protegens (TM5), and *Bacillus endophyticus* (TM7). A large number of studies have reported the isolation of bacteria from soil [\[29,](#page-14-0) [30,](#page-14-1) [31\]](#page-14-2). Yasmin et al. reported the isolation of *Pseudomonas protegens* from the agricultural field of maize. One similar study by Zhang et al. [\[32\]](#page-14-3) reported the isolation of *Bacillus halotolerans* from drought-and saltstressed rhizosphere soil. Another study by Das et al. [\[33\]](#page-14-4) reported *Bacillus endophyticus* from the soil.

Isolated strains were screened for selenium resistance in LB broth supplemented with sodium selenite. All three strains showed high resistance against selenium and were able to resist selenite concentrations of 320mM, 40mM, and 160mm, respectively. Ghosh et al. [\[34\]](#page-14-5) reported seleniumresistant Bacillus with MIC ranging from 300-750mM selenite. A study by Lusa et al. [\[35\]](#page-14-6) reported selenium resistant Pseudomonas, which was able to withstand 6mM selenium ion concentration. Different selenium reduction mechanisms are applied by the bacteria to resist selenium and reduce it to selenium (0). Some bacteria can reduce selenium aerobically, while others can reduce it anaerobically. All the isolates from the current study reduced selenium aerobically. The proposed mechanism for aerobic reduction is by reductases and thiols present in the cytoplasm of bacteria. These enzymes include fumarate reductase, selenite reductase SerT, GSH, and BSH [\[36\]](#page-14-7).

Plant growth-promoting bacteria (PGPB) can help plants by direct and indirect mechanisms. Direct mechanisms include the production of phytohormones and nutrient acquisition. For screening of these direct mechanisms, all the isolates were tested for IAA production, ammonia production, phosphate solubilization, and HCN production. TM3*-Bacillus halotolerans* possessed all four characteristics, while TM5*-Pseudomonas protegens* possessed IAA and Ammonia production only. Strain TM7*-Bacillus endophyticus* was able to produce ammonia. HCN and phosphate solubilizing enzymes in-vitro. Many studies reported bacillus bacteria with PGPB characteristics [\[37\]](#page-14-8). Hashem et al. [\[38\]](#page-14-9) reported a bacillus with PGPB characters which can impact biotic stress as well. Similarly, Chitara et al*.* [\[39\]](#page-14-10) reported selenium-resistant bacillus with PGPB characteristics. Zhang et al. [\[40\]](#page-15-0) isolated pseudomonas having PGP characters. Inoculation of seleniumresistant bacteria to soil resulted in increased plant growth. Maize seeds treated

with TM3*-Bacillus halotolerans* resulted in 20% greater seed germination, 56% greater shoot length, and 48% higher plant dry weight as compared to plants in pots with natural garden soil. A similar rise in these factors was observed when this strain was inoculated into pots containing seleniumsupplemented soil. On the other hand, TM7*-Bacillus endophyticus* caused decreased selenium content in selenium supplemented soils. Inoculation of these isolates also produced enhanced selenium content of maize leaves, both in the presence and absence of selenium supplementation. TM3*-Bacillus halotolerans* strain showed 12% lower selenium content in leaves when compared with plants in soil containing selenium. The use of microbes for selenium biofortification is an important strategy to improve the nutraceutical value of crops. A study by Durán et al. [\[41\]](#page-15-1) reported the use of selenium-resistant bacteria for the biofortification of selenium in wheat. Similarly, Kaur et al. [\[42\]](#page-15-2) reported the use of fungi for the biofortification of selenium in maize plants. Inoculation of these microbes increases the bioavailability of selenium in soil which results in increased uptake by the plants $[43]$.

The addition of selenium to the soil resulted in a more than 300% increase in selenium accumulation by the maize leaves and shoot. Administrating selenium to the maize may result in increased uptake of selenium by maize plants. A study by De Feudis et al. $\left[\frac{44}{1} \right]$ reported increased selenium accumulation in maize plants when selenium was provided at the rate of 200g ha-1. Another study by Ngigi et al. [\[10\]](#page-12-9) showed increased selenium uptake by maize and beans when selenium was added to the soil or by foliar application. The reason for the increased selenium level in maize shoots and leaves is the greater bioavailability of selenate ions [\[45\]](#page-15-5).

The addition of selenium to the soil resulted in increased uptake of different metals by the plants $[46]$. In the current study, the addition of selenate resulted in 9% higher iron content in maize leaves as compared to maize leaves grown in natural soil. This iron content was higher in plants inoculated with TM3*-Bacillus halotolerans* and TM7*- Bacillus endophyticus* strains; whereas, strain TM5*-Pseudomonas protegens* caused a 1% lower iron level in maize leaves. A study by Fang et al. $[47]$ reported increased Zn, Se, and Fe content in rice on foliar application of fertilizer. The increase in iron content of maize leaves may be attributed to two approaches used by PGPB, which are siderophore production and iron solubility. A study by Mishra et al. [\[48\]](#page-15-8) reported increased iron content in lentils by inoculation of Pseudomonas sp. strain. Another study by Khalid et al. [\[49\]](#page-15-9) reported a significant increase in iron content of chickpea on inoculation with bacteria.

5. Conclusion

Plant growth-promoting bacteria have the potential to enhance the nutritional status of the population. Targeting food and feed crop like maize is a good strategy to overcome malnutrition in animals and humans both. The current study showed that the microorganisms isolated from soil have PGPB characteristics along with resistance against selenium. These bacteria enhanced maize growth and increased the Se and Fe content of maize leaves. Fodder produced from such crops can be used to increase selenium levels in cattle.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Chavatte L. *Seleno-proteins*. New York: Springer; 2018.
- 2. Suttle NF. *Mineral nutrition of livestock*. Cabi; 2010.
- 3. Camaschella C. Iron deficiency. *Blood, Am J Hematol.* 2019;133(1):30- 39. [https://doi.org/10.1182/blood](https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2018-05-815944)-[2018-05-815944](https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2018-05-815944)
- 4. Wysocka D., Snarska A., Sobiech P. *Iron* in cattle health*. J Elem.* 2020;25(3):1175-1185. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/%2010.5601/jelem.2020.25.2.1960) [10.5601/jelem.2020.25.2.1960](https://doi.org/%2010.5601/jelem.2020.25.2.1960)
- 5. Statista. Worldwide Production of Grain in 2020/21. https://www.statista. com/statistics/263977/world-grainproduction-by-type/.
- 6. Pakistan Go. *Economic Survey of Pakistan, Ministry of food, Agriculture (Federal Bureau of Statistics), Islamabad*. 2021:17-43. https://www. pc.gov.pk/uploads/cpec/PES_2020_21 .pdf
- 7. Lin Z-Q. Uptake and accumulation of selenium in plants in relation to chemical speciation and biotransformation. *Development and uses of biofortified agricultural products*. Boca Raton: CRC Press; 2008:63-74.
- 8. Thavarajah P, Sarker A, Materne M, et al. A global survey of effects of genotype and environment on selenium concentration in lentils (Lens culinaris L.): Implications for nutritional fortification strategies. *Food Chem.* 2011;125(1):72-76.

[https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.20](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2010.08.038) [10.08.038](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2010.08.038)

- 9. Watts C, Aslam M, Gunaratna N, Shankar A, Groote HD, Sharp P. Agronomic Biofortification of maize with zinc fertilizers increases zinc uptake from maize flour by human intestinal caco-2 cells. *Curr Dev Nutr*. 2020;4(Supplement_2):1853-1853. [https://doi.org/10.1093/cdn/nzaa067_](https://doi.org/10.1093/cdn/nzaa067_080) [080](https://doi.org/10.1093/cdn/nzaa067_080)
- 10. Ngigi PB, Lachat C, Masinde PW, Du Laing G. Agronomic biofortification of maize and beans in Kenya through selenium fertilization. *Environ Geochem Health*. 2019;41(6):2577- 2591. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s10](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10653-019-00309-3)653- [019-00309-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10653-019-00309-3)
- 11. Maqbool MA, Aslam M, Beshir A, Khan MS. Breeding for provitamin A biofortification of maize (Zea mays L.). *Plant Breed.* 2018;137(4):451- 469. <https://doi.org/10.1111/pbr.12618>
- 12. Kumar N, Salakinkop S. Agronomic biofortification of maize with zinc and iron micronutrients. *Mod Concepts Dev Agron*. 2018;1(5):2-5.
- 13. Shameer S, Prasad T. Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria for sustainable agricultural practices with special reference to biotic and abiotic stresses. *Plant Growth Regul*. 2018;84(3):603-615. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/%2010.1007/s10725-017-0365-1) [10.1007/s10725](https://doi.org/%2010.1007/s10725-017-0365-1)-017-0365-1
- 14. Rahman M, Sabir AA, Mukta JA, et al. Plant probiotic bacteria Bacillus and Paraburkholderia improve growth, yield and content of antioxidants in strawberry fruit. *Sci Rep*. 2018;8(1):1-

11. [https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-20235-1)- [018-20235-1](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-20235-1)

- 15. Sun Z, Yue Z, Liu H, Ma K, Li C. Microbial-assisted wheat iron biofortification using endophytic Bacillus altitudinis WR10. *Fron Nutr*. 2021;8:476. [https://doi.org/10.3389/](https://doi.org/10.3389/%20fnut.2021.704030) [fnut.2021.704030](https://doi.org/10.3389/%20fnut.2021.704030)
- 16. Trivedi G, Patel P, Saraf M. Synergistic effect of endophytic selenobacteria on biofortification and growth of Glycine max under drought stress. *S Afr J Bot*. 2020;134:27-35. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sajb.2019.10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sajb.2019.10.001) [001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sajb.2019.10.001)
- 17. Saitou N, Nei M. The neighbor-joining method: a new method for reconstructing phylogenetic trees. *Mol Biol Evol*. 1987;4(4):406-425. [https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals](https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.molbev.a040454) [.molbev.a040454](https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.molbev.a040454)
- 18. Kumar S, Stecher G, Li M, Knyaz C, Tamura K. MEGA X: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis across computing platforms. *Mol Biol Evol.*
2018:35(6):1547. https://doi.org/10. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.%201093/molbev/msy096) [1093/molbev/msy096](https://doi.org/10.%201093/molbev/msy096)
- 19. Patten CL, Glick BR. Role of Pseudomonas putida indoleacetic acid in development of the host plant root system. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 2002;68(8):3795-3801. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/%2010.1128/AEM.68.8.3795-3801.2002) [10.1128/AEM.68.8.3795](https://doi.org/%2010.1128/AEM.68.8.3795-3801.2002)-3801.2002
- 20. Gaur A. *Phosphate solubilizing microorganisms as biofertilizer*. Omega Scientific Publishers; 1990.
- 21. James C, Natalie S. *Microbiology. A laboratory manual*. Pearson Education; 2014.
- 22. Lorck H. Production of hydrocyanic acid by bacteria. *Physiol Plant*. 1948;1(2):142-146. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.%201111/j.1399-3054.1948.tb07118.x) 1111/j.1399[-3054.1948.tb07118.x](https://doi.org/10.%201111/j.1399-3054.1948.tb07118.x)
- 23. Yasin M, El-Mehdawi AF, Pilon-Smits EA, Faisal M. Selenium-fortified wheat: potential of microbes for biofortification of selenium and other essential nutrients. *Int J Phytoremediation*. 2015;17(8):777- 786. [https://doi.org/10.1080/](https://doi.org/10.1080/%2015226514.2014.987372) [15226514.2014.987372](https://doi.org/10.1080/%2015226514.2014.987372)
- 24. Mehdi Y, Dufrasne I. Selenium in cattle: a review. *Mol*. 2016;21(4):545. [https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules210](https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules21040545) [40545](https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules21040545)
- 25. Dokoupilová A, Marounek M, Skřivanová V, Březina P. Selenium content in tissues and meat quality in rabbits fed selenium yeast. *Czech J Anim Sci*. 2007;52(6):165-169. [https://doi.org/10.17221/2319](https://doi.org/10.17221/2319-CJAS)-CJAS
- 26. Liu S, Sun H, Jose C, et al. Phenotypic blood glutathione concentration and selenium supplementation interactions on meat colour stability and fatty acid concentrations in Merino lambs *Meat Sci*. 2011;87(2):130-139. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2010.09.011) [.09.011](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2010.09.011)
- 27. Zuma MK, Kolanisi U, Modi AT. The potential of integrating provitamin Abiofortified maize in smallholder farming systems to reduce malnourishment in South Africa. *Int J Environ Res*. 2018;15(4):805. [https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph1504080](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph15040805) [5](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph15040805)
- 28. Gunaratna NS, Moges D, De Groote H. Biofortified maize can improve quality

protein intakes among young children in southern Ethiopia. *Nutr*. 2019;11(1):192. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.%203390/nu11010192) [3390/nu11010192](https://doi.org/10.%203390/nu11010192)

- 29. Alshehrei F. Production of polyhydroxybutyrate (PHB) by bacteria isolated from soil of Saudi Arabia. *J Pure Appl Micro*. 2019;13(2):897-904. https://dx.doi. org/10.22207/JPAM.13.2.26
- 30. Zhang K, Xue Y, Xu H, Yao Y. Lead removal by phosphate solubilizing bacteria isolated from soil through biomineralization. *Chemosphere*. 2019;224:272-279. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/%2010.1016/j.chemosphere.2019.02.140) [10.1016/j.chemosphere.2019.02.140](https://doi.org/%2010.1016/j.chemosphere.2019.02.140)
- 31. Yasmin R, Hussain S, Rasool MH, Siddique MH, Muzammil S. Isolation, characterization of Zn solubilizing bacterium (Pseudomonas protegens RY2) and its contribution in growth of chickpea (Cicer arietinum L) as deciphered by improved growth parameters and Zn content. *Dose-Response*. 2021;19(3):1-2. https://doi. org/10.1177/15593258211036791
- 32. Zhang Z, Yin L, Li X, Zhang C, Liu C, Wu Z. The complete genome sequence of Bacillus halotolerans ZB201702 isolated from a drought-and saltstressed rhizosphere soil. *Microb Pathog*. 2018;123:246-249. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micpath.2018](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micpath.2018.07.019) [.07.019](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micpath.2018.07.019)
- 33. Das R, Udayakumar P, Vaidyanathan R. A study on enhanced production of 3-demethylated colchicine by a novel
strain of Bacillus endophyticus strain of Bacillus isolated from rhizospheric soils of Gloriosa superba. *Biocatal Biotransformation*. 2021;39(3):198-

205. [https://doi.org/10.1080/](https://doi.org/10.1080/%2010242422.2020.1808628) [10242422.2020.1808628](https://doi.org/10.1080/%2010242422.2020.1808628)

- 34. Ghosh A, Mohod AM, Paknikar KM, Jain RK. Isolation and characterization of selenite-and selenate-tolerant microorganisms from seleniumcontaminated sites. *World J Microbiol Biotechnol*. 2008;24(8):1607-1611. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s11274](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11274-007-9624-z)-007- [9624-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11274-007-9624-z)
- 35. Lusa M, Help H, Honkanen A-P, et al. The reduction of selenium (IV) by boreal Pseudomonas sp. strain T5-6-I– Effects on selenium (IV) uptake in Brassica oleracea. *Environ Res.* 2019;177. [https://doi.org/10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/%20j.envres.2019.108642) [j.envres.2019.108642](https://doi.org/10.1016/%20j.envres.2019.108642)
- 36. Wang D, Rensing C, Zheng S. Microbial reduction and resistance to selenium: Mechanisms, applications and prospects. *J Hazard Mater*. 2022;421. [https://doi.org/10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/%20j.jhazmat.2021.126684) [j.jhazmat.2021.126684](https://doi.org/10.1016/%20j.jhazmat.2021.126684)
- 37. Khan MA, Asaf S, Khan AL, et al. Thermotolerance effect of plant growth-promoting Bacillus cereus SA1 on soybean during heat stress. *BMC Microbiol*. 2020;20(1):1-14. [https://doi.org/10.1186/s12866](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12866-020-01822-7)-020- [01822-7](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12866-020-01822-7)
- 38. Hashem A, Tabassum B, Abd_Allah EF. Bacillus subtilis: A plant-growth promoting rhizobacterium that also impacts biotic stress. *Saudi J Biol Sci*. 2019;26(6):1291-1297. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sjbs.2019.05.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sjbs.2019.05.004) [004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sjbs.2019.05.004)
- 39. Chitara MK, Chauhan S, Singh RP. Bioremediation of Polluted Soil by Using Plant Growth–Promoting

Rhizobacteria. *Microbial Rej of Pol Environ*. Springer; 2021;25:203-226. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-15-7447-4_8)-981-15- [7447-](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-15-7447-4_8)4_8

- 40. Zhang M, Yang L, Hao R, Bai X, Wang Y, Yu X. Drought-tolerant plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria isolated from jujube (Ziziphus jujuba) and their potential to enhance drought tolerance. *Plant Soil*. 2020;452(1):423-440. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/%2010.1007/s11104-020-04582-5) [10.1007/s11104](https://doi.org/%2010.1007/s11104-020-04582-5)-020-04582-5
- 41. Durán P, Acuña JJ, Jorquera MA, et al. Endophytic bacteria from seleniumsupplemented wheat plants could be useful for plant-growth promotion, biofortification and Gaeumannomyces graminis biocontrol in wheat production. *Biol Fertil Soils*. 2014;50(6):983-990. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s00374](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00374-014-0920-0)-014- [0920-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00374-014-0920-0)
- 42. Kaur T, Vashisht A, Prakash NT, Reddy MS. Role of Selenium-Tolerant Fungi on Plant Growth Promotion and Selenium Accumulation of Maize Plants Grown in Seleniferous Soils. *Water Air Soil Pollut*. 2022;233(1):1- 12. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s11270](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11270-021-05490-9)- [021-05490-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11270-021-05490-9)
- 47. Fang Y, Wang L, Xin Z, Zhao L, An X, Hu Q. Effect of foliar application of zinc, selenium, and iron fertilizers on nutrients concentration and yield of rice grain in China. *J Agric Food Chem.* 2008;56(6):2079-2084. <https://doi.org/10.1021/jf800150z>
- 48. Mishra PK, Bisht SC, Ruwari P, et al. Bioassociative effect of cold tolerant Pseudomonas spp. and Rhizobium leguminosarum-PR1 on iron

- 43. Nakamaru YM, Altansuvd J. Speciation and bioavailability of selenium and antimony in non-flooded and wetland soils: A review. *Chemosphere*. 2014;111:366-371. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2014.04.024) [.2014.04.024](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2014.04.024)
- 44. De Feudis M, D'Amato R, Businelli D, Guiducci M. Fate of selenium in soil: A case study in a maize (Zea mays L.) field under two irrigation regimes and fertilized with sodium selenite. *Sci Total Environ.*. 2019;659:131-139. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.201](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.12.200) [8.12.200](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.12.200)
- 45. Gupta M, Gupta S. An overview of selenium uptake, metabolism, and toxicity in plants. *Front Plant Sci.*. 2017;7:2074. [https://doi.org/10.3389/](https://doi.org/10.3389/%20fpls.2016.02074) [fpls.2016.02074](https://doi.org/10.3389/%20fpls.2016.02074)
- 46. Chauhan R, Awasthi S, Tripathi P, et al. Selenite modulates the level of phenolics and nutrient element to alleviate the toxicity of arsenite in rice (Oryza sativa L.). *Ecotoxicol Environ Saf.* 2017;138:47-55. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/%2010.1016/j.ecoenv.2016.11.015) [10.1016/j.ecoenv.2016.11.015](https://doi.org/%2010.1016/j.ecoenv.2016.11.015)

acquisition, nutrient uptake and growth of lentil (Lens culinaris L.). *Eur J Soil Biol.* 2011;47(1):35-43. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejsobi.2010.1](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejsobi.2010.11.005) [1.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejsobi.2010.11.005)

49. Khalid S, Asghar HN, Akhtar MJ, Aslam A, Zahir ZA. Biofortification of iron in chickpea by plant growth promoting rhizobacteria. *Pak J Bot*. 2015;47(3):1191-1194.