

Clinical & Counselling Psychology Review (CCPR)


Volume 6 Issue 1, Spring 2024

ISSN(P): 2412-5253, ISSN(E): 2706-8676

Homepage: <https://journals.umt.edu.pk/index.php/CCPR>



Article QR



Title: Beliefs about Precarious Manhood and Psychological Distress among Adult Men in Pakistani Context: Mediating Role of Body Dissatisfaction

Author (s): Muhammad Bilal Anwaar, Sana Haider, Fazila Malik, Shamsa Kanwal, and Shehroz Ali


Affiliation (s): University of the Punjab, Lahore, Pakistan

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.32350/ccpr.61.04>

History Received: March 19, 2024, Revised: April 28, 2024, Accepted: May 27, 2024, Published: June 28, 2024

Citation: Anwaar, M. B., Haider, S., Malik, F., Kanwal, S., & Ali, S. (2024). Beliefs about precarious manhood and psychological distress among adult men in Pakistani context: Mediating role of body dissatisfaction. *Clinical and Counselling Psychology Review*, 6(1), 58–79.
<https://doi.org/10.32350/ccpr.61.04>

Copyright: © The Authors

Licensing:  This article is open access and is distributed under the terms of [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

Conflict of Interest: Author(s) declared no conflict of interest



UMT

A publication of
Department of Clinical Psychology
University of Management and Technology, Lahore, Pakistan

Beliefs about Precarious Manhood and Psychological Distress among Adult Men in Pakistani Context: Mediating Role of Body Dissatisfaction

Muhammad Bilal Anwaar*, Sana Haider, Fazila Malik, Shamsa Kanwal, and Shehroz Ali

Institute of Applied Psychology, University of the Punjab, Lahore, Pakistan

Abstract

Beliefs about precarious manhood is a notion stating that manhood is a socially acquired status that needs to be continuously earned and defended because it can be seized. The current quantitative study employed a correlational research design to investigate the relationship among perceptions about precarious manhood, body dissatisfaction, and psychological distress among adult men through the mediating role of body dissatisfaction. This study aimed to provide insights into how men's mental health and body image are influenced by their ideas about masculinity. The data was collected from 200 adult men using a convenience sampling strategy. To collect the data, scales, including Precarious Manhood Beliefs Scale (*PMBS*), Body Parts Satisfaction Scale for Men (*BPSS-M*), and Kessler Psychological Distress Scale (*K6*) were used. It was found that beliefs about precarious manhood were significantly positively correlated with psychological distress among adult men, suggesting that perceptions of masculinity can contribute to emotional strain. Moreover, body dissatisfaction partially mediated this relationship, suggesting that dissatisfaction with physical appearance plays a key role in amplifying the psychological impact of these beliefs. Future research should focus on specific body image factors such as muscularity, fat ratio, and leanness in relation to precarious manhood, while practitioners and policymakers should address how societal gender norms impact men's mental health and body image.

Keywords: body dissatisfaction, gender role strain, men's health, precarious manhood, psychological distress

*Corresponding Author: bilal.anwaar@proton.me

Introduction

Beliefs about precarious manhood are the articulation that manhood is a socially attained status that requires continual earning and defense (demonstration of toughness and dominance), as it is susceptible to loss or confiscation (displaying weakness and vulnerability) (Vandello et al., [2022](#)). Manhood, unlike womanhood, is viewed as precarious, which requires constant social validation. This vulnerability makes men feel threatened by challenges to their masculinity, potentially resulting in gender-typed behaviors, such as physical aggression (Vandello & Bosson, [2013](#)).

The desire for status, dominance, toughness, self-reliance, and anti-femininity are highlighted as traits of Traditional Masculinity Ideology (TMI), as well as, what it means to be a man (Levant & Richmond, [2016](#)). Men might experience several forms of social pressure, such as calling them derogatory terms being encouraged to man up or act like a man when they are afraid, look muscular or feeling like they have failed as a man when they lose their job or become physically weaker with age (Burkley et al., [2016](#)).

The beliefs about precarious manhood can have several detrimental effects on men's psychological and physical health, such as heightened hostility, anxiety, and aggression (Vandello & Bosson, [2013](#); DiMuccio & Knowles, [2020](#)). Moreover, certain threats to livelihood of men, such as death sentence and war threats, has also affected the outlook towards their capabilities to show aggression and anxiety. There is also obvious difference in how the men associated with different ideologies react to it (DiMuccio & Knowles, [2023](#)).

Hearn et al. (2023) describe men as a social category, that is, as people who identify themselves and are defined by others as such. Men's social category is created within the context of gender hegemony, an accepted norm in which gender roles and relations are accepted as an innate trait. The dominant kind of masculinity that exercises control over women and other oppressed groups is known as hegemonic masculinity (Jewkes et al., [2015](#)). This idea, which dates back to the early 1980s in gender studies, explains why men exercise power over women and how it gives an outlook of mutual understanding over different power dynamics then being controlled. Masculinities are produced in response to cultural ideals regarding men within gender regimes. Men, women, and other genders may all perform

and maintain masculinities, which are believed to be signals, discourses, practices, and performances that mask inconsistencies (Hearn et al., [2023](#)).

Recently, Vandello et al. ([2022](#)), documented the acceptance level of Precarious Manhood Beliefs (PBM) in over 62 countries, including Pakistan, and its link to a range of health behaviors associated with risk. The idea of precarious manhood provides a framework for comprehending the relationship that body image issues may have with men's psychological health. The precarious manhood can be acquired by acting in a manly manner (Vandello & Bosson, [2013](#)). And in order to achieve that masculinity and manliness men would try to acquire a more muscular body which helps them elevate the belief that their masculinity was in danger (Mills et al., [2023](#)).

Body dissatisfaction in men, particularly related to muscularity and masculinity norms, is a significant concern. The conformity to specific masculine norms, such as winning, violence, and irresponsibility can lead to body dissatisfaction, which in turn mediates the development of exercise dependence in men (Fatma, [2022](#)). Moreover, the internalization of muscular-ideal norms, especially in combination with thin-ideal internalization, is associated with increased body dissatisfaction, cognitive restraint, and muscle dysmorphia symptoms in men (Dawson & Hammer, [2020](#)). Additionally, media pressure plays a crucial role in shaping body ideals and dissatisfaction in men, with a focus on muscularity and thinness, highlighting the impact of sociocultural influences on body image perceptions (Convertino et al., [2022](#)).

Body dissatisfaction consists of a negative subjective evaluation of one's physical body, such as figure, weight, stomach, and hips (Alfonso, [2020](#)). Previous research highlighted the fact that men also struggle with body dissatisfaction and engage in body-altering behaviors (Grieve et al., [2013](#)). While they may not always meet the diagnostic criteria for Body Dysmorphic Disorder (BDD), it is evident that body image concerns are not limited to one gender. This realization suggests that body image may play a significant role in men's mental health. Muscle Dysmorphia (MD) is a subtype of BDD characterized by a preoccupation with not being enough muscular, leading to various negative consequences, such as social withdrawal, excessive exercise, and psychological distress (Blashill et al., [2020](#)).

Body dissatisfaction can have devastating effects on individuals' well-being. There is a significant positive correlation between body image dissatisfaction and self-esteem (Saini, [2023](#)). Barnes et al. ([2020](#)) suggested that there is an association among men's body dissatisfaction, anxiety, and depression. There is also a significant association between internalization of the mesomorphic ideal, appearance anxiety, and body dissatisfaction in college-aged males (Olivia et al., [2020](#)). Due to conventional masculine views that consider asking for help as a weakness, males are less likely to seek mental health services (Keum et al., [2021](#)). Depression can result from hiding distress; therefore, research indicates that loneliness and feeling misinterpreted might exacerbate depressive symptoms in males.

Men's psychological well-being requires attentions, since many issues may go unresolved, in part due to the fact that men are less likely than women to reveal mental health issues and seek help (Kastrup et al., [2023](#)). Men who endorse precarious manhood beliefs are at a greater risk for psychological distress (Vandello et al., [2024](#)). Moreover, both conformity to and psychological conflict with traditional masculine gender role expectations are associated with a wide range of health behaviors, body image issues, and poor health outcomes among men, especially in organizational settings that are highly gendered and structured by traditional masculine role norms (for example, toughness, violence, homophobia, and others) (Mankowski & Smith, [2016](#)). Therefore, men who adhere to precarious manhood face an elevated risk of psychological distress (Vandello et al., [2024](#)).

Literature Review

International Research

The theoretical background of Precarious Manhood Beliefs (PMB) posits that manhood is a status difficult to attain, easily lost, and must be demonstrated through public actions. This concept is rooted in the social expectations surrounding masculinity, where failure to meet these standards can lead to psychological distress and social repercussions (Bosson et al., [2021](#); DiMuccio & Knowles, [2021](#); Kinmore, [2023](#); Walther et al., [2023](#)).

Men might try to compensate for threatened masculinity by pursuing muscularity. Men's desire to have higher levels of muscle (Drive for Muscularity or DFM) and engage in behaviors to boost their masculine image (Edwards et al., [2017](#)). The reason behind this mindset is that they

feel lack of "masculine capital" and try to compensate for it through Drive for Muscularity (DFM) and traditional masculine activities, such as sports. The pressure men feel to showcase their masculinity in public can result in the exhibition of hyper-masculine behaviors.

Men who feel threatened by their masculinity are likely to have lower self-confidence in their physical abilities (Hunt et al., [2013](#)). Men tend to present themselves as more formidable and stronger in the face of challenges to their masculinity leading to body dissatisfaction and psychological distress. According to the Gender Role Strain Theory (GRST), people go through psychological stress when their identities and experiences don't align with what society expects of them and it is frequently made worse by ingrained gender conventions (Colizza et al., [2024](#)).

The sociocultural model suggests that exposure to idealized images of masculinity and the body (for example, through media representations) leads individuals to internalize such ideals related to concept of masculinity, hence causing them to evaluate their own bodies against an unrealistic standard (Stice, [2002](#)). In men, this often translates to a desire for a muscular and lean physique, which may be unattainable for many. As men internalize these societal ideals, they are more likely to experience body dissatisfaction, which has been linked to various forms of psychological distress, including depression, anxiety, and low self-esteem (Austen & Griffiths, [2024](#)).

Men might also experience strain related to their appearance, which can be one of the factors of male body dissatisfaction. The theory of precarious manhood posits that societal expectations might induce anxiety and discomfort in males who perceive themselves as failing to achieve these criteria (Khan et al., [2023](#)). When men internalize societal ideals about the male body, they may begin to objectify themselves, constantly evaluating their appearance against an external standard. This self-objectification is associated with body shame, anxiety, and psychological distress (Naqi et al., [2022](#); Saunders et al., [2024](#)).

Among men, body dissatisfaction is a major mediator in several psychological and health problems, especially when it comes to sexual minority communities. According to Blashill et al. ([2016](#)), body dissatisfaction among men is associated with greater depressive symptoms, decreased sexual self-efficacy, and increased sexual anxiety. The

connection between body dissatisfaction and eating disorder symptomatology in men is further mediated by the connection of gender role conflict, negative affect, and social sensitivity (Blashill & Wal, [2009](#)).

Indigenous Research

South Asian men struggle to maintain conventional notions of masculinity due to their challenging socioeconomic circumstances (Aslam, [2014](#)). Adherence to conventional masculine ideals may serve as a deterrent for men seeking assistance, which may have detrimental effects on their health (De Visser et al., [2020](#)). Men have been shown to experience stress and conflict as a result of gender norms; therefore, research attempted to explore the conditions in which such standards can be altered (Amna & Raana, [2023](#)). The way men denote the desire for women via words and actions, which furthermore, reinforces their masculinity and dominance (Fatima, [2016](#)). Men reported greater degrees of gender role strain, which connected positively with violence (Arshad & Shahed, [2023](#)). In Pakistan, where traditional and cultural values enhance the partiality between the gender roles these differences are more visible, which ultimately enforces men to engage in violent behaviors as a mean of reasserting their masculinity while experiencing greater gender role strain (Habib et al., [2024](#)).

The current study draws on precarious manhood theory, gender role strain theory, and the elaborated socio-cultural model to explain how societal expectations of masculinity contribute to body dissatisfaction and psychological distress among men. Body objectification theory further enhances this understanding by highlighting the role of self-objectification in the development of body image concerns. Together, these theories offer a robust explanation of the psychological challenges faced by men in navigating societal pressures related to masculinity and body image.

Rationale

The current study examines the significant implications of Precarious Manhood Beliefs (PMB) on men's mental health (Vandello et al., [2022](#)). With the mediating effect of body dissatisfaction on precarious manhood, current literature tends to focus more on the general stressors associated with traditional masculinity (Pritchard et al., [2021](#)), yet it overlooks the nuanced ways in which precarious manhood beliefs intersect with modern cultural ideals, particularly in relation to body image. This study aims to fill

that gap by exploring how men's internalized beliefs about masculinity exacerbate negative psychological outcomes, such as distress, is associated with body image dissatisfaction.

Hypotheses

- There would likely to be a positive relationship among beliefs about precarious manhood, body dissatisfaction, and psychological distress among adult men.
- Body dissatisfaction would likely mediate the relationship between beliefs about precarious manhood and psychological distress among adult men.
- There would be a significant difference due to marital status regarding the beliefs about precarious manhood, body dissatisfaction, and psychological distress.

Methodology

Sample

For this study, a correlation research strategy was employed. Following the convenience sampling technique, data was collected from men aged between 18 to 35 years who were residing in Lahore city. Men diagnosed with any chronic medical and psychiatric conditions were not included in the study. The predominant participants were students (48%) or employed full-time (35.5%), exhibiting a variety of educational backgrounds from intermediate to master's degrees. The majority of participants originated from metropolitan environments (79%) and nuclear family structures (63.5%). A significant percentage of the sample was unmarried (84%).

Table 1

Socio-demographic Characteristics of the Participants (N = 200)

Sample Characteristics	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>SD</i>
Age			23.38	3.93
Education				
Intermediate	81	37.0		
Bachelor's Degree	95	47.5		
Master's Degree	31	15.5		
Employment Status				
Employed Full-Time	71	35.5		

Sample Characteristics	<i>n</i>	%	<i>M</i>	<i>SD</i>
Employed Part-Time	9	4.5		
Unemployed	24	12.0		
Student	96	48.0		
Family Background				
Urban	158	79.0		
Rural	42	21.0		
Family System				
Nuclear Family	127	63.5		
Joint Family	73	36.5		
Marital Status				
Unmarried	168	84.0		
Married	32	16.0		

Measures

Precarious Manhood Beliefs Scale (PMBS)

Precarious Manhood Beliefs Scale (PMBS) assesses adherence to traditional masculine norms and associated anxieties (Hegarty & Pratto, 2001; Haslam et al., 2006; Vandello et al., 2008). It consisted of total 7 items, ranging from 1 (*strongly disagree*) to 7 (*strongly agree*). The item scores were averaged to yield overall scores wherein higher scores indicate stronger endorsement of hostile sexism or precarious manhood beliefs. Cronbach's alpha given by the original author of the scale was .85 (Vandello et al., 2022).

Body Parts Satisfaction Scale for Men (BPSS-M)

Body Parts Satisfaction Scale for Men (BPSS-M) was developed by McFarland and Petrie (2012). This scale consisted of 25 items that evaluate satisfaction with specific body parts. Each item asks respondents to rate their satisfaction with the appearance of the respective body parts on a scale ranging from 1 (*extremely dissatisfied*) to 7 (*extremely satisfied*). There were three defined components: face (five items; α .85), legs (four items; α .94), and Upper body (17 items; α .98). Factor intercorrelations range from .65 to .79. These criteria comprise measures evaluating leanness and muscularity. Support was established for the factors' concurrent, discriminant, and convergent validity. The factors were also determined to be internally consistent and stable over a period of six months. Furthermore, body satisfaction has a special role in men's experiences with disordered

eating, mood, and psychological well-being, even after adjusting for social desirability and the urge for muscularity. Total score range was 25 to 150 (McFarland & Petrie, [2012](#)).

Kessler Psychological Distress Scale (K6)

Kessler Psychological Distress Scale (K6) developed by Kessler et al. ([2002](#)), is used to measure non-specific psychological distress. Psychological distress was operationalized as the presence of negative emotional states, including feelings of nervousness, agitation, restlessness, hopelessness, depression, and worthlessness. It consisted of 6 items. The respondents were asked to indicate how often they have experienced each of these feelings on a five-point Likert scale, ranging from 0 (*none of the time*) to 4 (*all of the time*). The total score ranges from 0-24. K6 exhibit comparable psychometric features across significant socio-demographic subsamples and high accuracy in the 90th–99th percentile range of the population distribution (Kessler et al., [2002](#)).

Procedure

To initiate the research, formal approval was obtained from the institutional review board. Also, for data collection, the permissions were granted from the institute. Subsequently, to utilize the scales in the current study, permission was requested from the scales' authors. In order to make sure that participants could easily comprehend and complete the questionnaire, pilot testing was conducted prior to the data collection phase. Participants were informed about the goal of the study as well as their obligations and rights prior to enrolling in the research. To confirm voluntary involvement, each participant additionally completed an informed consent form. Additionally, the researchers answered and acknowledged study-related questions from participants. The data collected from research participants was analyzed using SPSS (Version 27).

Results

The results of a thorough statistical analysis of the data gathered are presented in this section.

Table 2

Test of Normality for Distributional Characteristics of the Key Variables (N = 200)

Variables	Mean	Median	Skewness	Kurtosis	Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test (p) Value
PMB	28.27	30.00	-0.23	-1.20	.110
BPSS-M	94.42	99.50	0.03	-1.13	.119
Face	15.62	16.00	-0.07	-1.11	.106
Upper Body	63.82	67.00	0.04	-1.08	.088
Legs	14.97	15.00	0.07	-0.98	.071
K6	11.60	12.00	-0.17	-0.98	.088

Note. PMB = Precarious Manhood Beliefs Scale. BPSS-M = Body Parts Satisfaction Scale for Men. K6 = Kessler Psychological Distress Scale.

Table 3

Psychometric Properties of the Key Variables (N = 200)

Scales	k	M	SD	Range		α
				Potential	Actual	
PBMS	7	28.27	9.32	7 - 49	11 - 45	.83
BPSS-M	25	94.42	29.78	25 - 150	35 - 147	.91
Face	4	15.62	4.99	4 - 24	5 - 23	.81
Upper Body	4	63.82	20.80	17 - 102	23 - 101	.92
Legs	17	14.43	5.43	4 - 24	3 - 21	.89
K6	6	11.60	5.32	0 - 24	1 - 22	.84

Note. PMBS = Precarious Manhood Beliefs Scale. BPSS-M = Body Parts Satisfaction Scale for Men. K6 = Kessler Psychological Distress Scale.

The above Table shows the internal consistency values of the different scales with a coefficient of $\alpha=.83$, the Precarious Manhood Beliefs Scale (PMB) exhibits a good degree of internal consistency. With a value of $\alpha=.91$, Body Parts Satisfaction Scale for Men (BPSS-M) has excellent reliability. However, with a coefficient of $\alpha=.84$, the Kessler Psychological Distress Scale (K6) exhibits a high degree of internal consistency as well.

Table 4
Inter-Correlation among Demographic Variables, and Study Variables(N=200)

Variables	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1 Age	-						
2 GL	.09	-					
3 FB	.06	.12	-				
4 MS	.57**	.11	.07	-			
5 PMB	.05	.04	.05	.08	-		
6 BD	.04	.40*	.09	.04	.55**	-	
7 PD	.10	.10	.10	.02	.59**	.68**	-

Note. GL = Geographical Location (0 = Urban, 1 = Rural), FB = Family Background (0 = Nuclear, 1 = Joint), MS = Marital Status (0 = single, 1 = married), PMB = Precarious Manhood Beliefs, BD = Body Dissatisfaction, PD = Psychological Distress.

** = $p < .01$. * = $p < .05$.

Table 4 illustrates relationships among Precarious Manhood Beliefs (PBM), Body Dissatisfaction (BD), and Psychological Distress (PD). PBM was positively correlated with both BD ($r = .55$, $p < .01$) and PD ($r = .59$, $p < .01$). Moreover, BD and PD are also positively linked with each other ($r = .68$, $p < .01$), MS was moderately linked with age ($r = .57$, $p < .01$), and there was a weak correlation between geographical location and BD ($r = .40$, $p < .05$), which indicates that men in urban areas are more likely to experience BD than men in rural areas.

Table 5
Mediation Analysis Results for Precarious Manhood Beliefs (IV), Body Dissatisfaction (M), and Psychological Distress (DV) among Adult Men (N = 200)


Variables/Paths	β	SE	t	p	95% CI
PBM → BD	.63**	.04	24.241	.001	[0.02, 0.85]
BD → PD	.57**	.01	7.051	.001	[0.49, 0.64]
Effects					
Direct Effect	.18**	.04	5.184	.001	[0.11, 0.25]
Indirect Effect (BD)	.25	.03			[0.13, 0.27]

The above table presents the variables, Precarious Manhood Beliefs (PMB) and Psychological Distress (PD), in the mediating relation to Body

Dissatisfaction (BD), as well as the direct and indirect mediating effects of the variables. There is a significant mediating relationship between PMB and BD ($\beta=.63, p<.001$), which supports the assumption that men with PMB were likely to experience body dissatisfaction. Resultantly, the increase in body dissatisfaction is likely to result in an increase in psychological distress, which is demonstrated by the positive coefficient between BD and PD ($\beta=.57, p<.001$). The direct impact of PMB on PD is shown by the positive coefficient ($\beta=.18, p<.001$), suggesting that men with PMB experience PD. Moreover, the indirect effect of PMB on PD through the mediating factor of BD among adult men is significant ($\beta=.25$).

Figure 1

Statistical Model of the Research (N=200)



Note. PMB = Precarious Manhood Beliefs, BD = Body Dissatisfaction, PD = Psychological Distress.

** $p < .001$.

Discussion

The current study aimed to determine if beliefs about precarious manhood, body dissatisfaction, and psychological distress among men were related to one another. As per the findings, there is a substantial relationship among beliefs about precarious manhood, body dissatisfaction, and psychological distress among men. The previously published literature also supported the findings based on the first hypothesis. As stated by the researchers that manhood was perceived as a precarious condition that needs to be earned

via public display of masculinity in all cultures (Vandello & Bosson, [2022](#)). Threats to men's perception of inadequacy and self-worthlessness are identified by precarious manhood theory as challenges to masculinity. Within our social environment Masculinity is frequently challenged and masculinity is a status that is both elusive (it does not come from development alone) and tenuous (it is not always maintained after it is attained). Men will take a variety of compensating measures to regain their manhood if it is lost (Glick et al., [2007](#)). Hence, the research was supported by the previous studies that men take various actions to preserve their manhood and one of many strategies would be to appear more masculine and muscular (Hunt et al., [2013](#); Mills et al., [2023](#)). The men who are threatened by lack of their masculinity are likely to have lower self-confidence in their physical abilities, leading to actions that can preserve their manhood.

Furthermore, media pressures, which emphasize masculinity, muscularity, and thinness, have a significant impact on how men see their bodies and contribute to their discontent with them. This underscores the significance of societal influences on how men view their bodies (Convertino et al., [2022](#)). Both of these factors can lead to a number of detrimental effects on men's psychological and physical health, such as heightened hostility, and anxiety (Vandello & Bosson, [2013](#)). The precarious manhood notion suggests that there may be dangers to masculinity, which could explain increased perceived strength and muscle discontent (Frederick et al., [2017](#)). The results demonstrate the intricate relationship between men's body dissatisfaction and beliefs about precarious manhood. Additionally, men feel the need to continually prove their manhood status (Vandello & Bosson, [2013](#)). Any perceived threat or failure to meet societal standards of masculinity can evoke distress, which eventually impacts mental health.

The second hypothesis was that body dissatisfaction would likely mediate the relationship between beliefs about precarious manhood and psychological distress among men. The results from regression analysis, as well as, previously published research supported the mediation analysis (Blashill et al., [2016](#); Blashill & Wal, [2009](#); Burlew & Shurts, [2013](#)). Adhering to certain stereotypical ideals for men can cause body dissatisfaction, which then act as a mediator in the development of men's exercise habits, which then leads to heightened feelings of body

dissatisfaction, cognitive restriction, and symptoms of muscular dysmorphia (Dawson & Hammer, [2020](#); Fatma, [2022](#)).

According to the third hypothesis, there would be a significant difference due to marital status regarding beliefs about precarious manhood, body dissatisfaction, and psychological distress. The results of the current study didn't support this hypothesis.

Conclusion

The present study underscores the profound influence of precarious manhood beliefs on psychological distress and body dissatisfaction among Pakistani men. Findings revealed a significant positive relationship among precarious manhood beliefs, body dissatisfaction, and psychological distress, indicating that men are more likely to feel dissatisfied with their bodies and experience psychological distress when they believe that their masculinity is somewhat temporary, and they must exhibit dominance in society. Thus, it was concluded that an association exists among beliefs about precarious manhood, body dissatisfaction, and psychological distress. This association is particularly relevant in the Pakistani cultural context, where social norms promote masculine ideals such as dominance, power, and self-reliance, creating more pressure on men to maintain a perceived idealized appearance. The study highlights the need for culturally sensitive mental health interventions that address the rigid masculinity expectations contributing to distress and low body satisfaction in men.

Limitations and Future Recommendations

As we used convenience sampling in the study, which was not entirely representative of the population, since the use of convenience sampling can introduce bias. More varied samples should be the goal of future research in order to improve the generalizability of findings. To better reflect the whole population, include a sample that would be more diverse in terms of age, ethnicity, socioeconomic level, and geography. By adding additional participants and enlarging the sample size, future researchers can also employ qualitative techniques to investigate beliefs about precarious manhood in greater detail. Indigenous instruments should be created in order to reach the local community, in addition to scales, such as PMBS, BPSS-M, and K6. Additional mediating or moderating variables can be included in future study to evaluate the complicated relationship among precarious manhood beliefs, body dissatisfaction, and psychological

distress. Furthermore, future research should investigate these dimensions in other cultural contexts in order to comprehend cross-cultural differences and common trends. Future research should also investigate the more specific aspects of body dissatisfaction experienced by men and its association with belief about precarious manhood, and the aspects which were not included in the study, such as, muscularity, fat ratio, and leanness (Olivia et al., 2020). Lastly, future studies should examine the function of media in the formation of beliefs about precarious manhood, body dissatisfaction, and psychological distress, as well as the manner in which media moderates or mediates the association between these variables.

Research Policy Implications

The findings of this study have significant policy implications, particularly in the realm of mental health and gender studies. Understanding the adverse effects of rigid masculinity norms on men's mental health and self-perception is essential for shaping public policy. This research underscores the need for public health campaigns aimed at raising awareness about the detrimental impacts of societal expectations related to masculinity. Such initiatives could promote healthier self-perceptions, reduce the stigma surrounding mental health issues, and encourage men to seek help without fear of judgment. Policymakers can also use these insights to design interventions that promote gender equity, challenging harmful stereotypes while advocating for a more inclusive definition of masculinity that allows for emotional vulnerability and self-care. Addressing these issues holistically may contribute to improving men's overall quality of life and reducing psychological distress caused by body dissatisfaction and the pressure to conform to traditional masculine ideals.

Conflict of Interest

The authors of the manuscript have no financial or non-financial conflict of interest in the subject matter or materials discussed in this manuscript.

Data Availability Statement

The data associated with this study will be provided by the corresponding author upon request.

References

- Amna, A. K., & Raana, M. (2023). Male perspective on construction of masculinity: Issues and challenges in Lahore. *Journal of Social Science Review*, 3(1), 737–746. <http://doi:10.54183/jssr.v3i1.163>
- Arshad, H., & Shahed, S. (2023). Prevalence of gender role strain, and its relationship with aggression and depression among professional adults. *Acta Scientifica Neurology*, 8–13. <https://doi.org/10.31080/asne.2023.06.0685>
- Aslam, M. (2014). Islamism and masculinity: Case study Pakistan. *Historical Social Research/Historische Sozialforschung*, 39(3), 135–149.
- Austen, E., & Griffiths, S. (2024). Body fat and muscularity dissatisfaction are not prospectively associated with psychological wellbeing among sexual minority men: A case for separating within- and between-person variation in examinations of body image phenomena and their outcomes. *Body Image*, 51, Article e101783. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bodyim.2024.101783>
- Blashill, A. J., & Wal, J. S. V. (2009). Mediation of gender role conflict and eating pathology in gay men. *Psychology of Men & Masculinity*, 10(3), 204–217. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0016000>
- Blashill, A. J., Grunewald, W., Fang, A., Davidson, E., & Wilhelm, S. (2020). Conformity to masculine norms and symptom severity among men diagnosed with muscle dysmorphia vs. body dysmorphic disorder. *Plos One*, 15(8), Article e0237651. <https://doi.org/10.1371/JOURNAL.PONE.0237651>
- Blashill, A. J., Tomassilli, J., Biello, K., O’Cleirigh, C., Safren, S. A., & Mayer, K. H. (2016). Body dissatisfaction among sexual Minority men: Psychological and sexual health outcomes. *Archives of Sexual Behavior*, 45(5), 1241–1247. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10508-015-0683-1>
- Bosson, J. K., Jurek, P., Vandello, J. A., Kosakowska-Berezecka, N., Olech, M., Besta, T., Bender, M., Hoorens, V., Becker, M., Sevincer, A. T., Best, D. L., Safdar, S., Włodarczyk, A., Zawisza, M., Żadkowska, M., Abuhamdeh, S., Agyemang, C. B., Akbaş, G., Albayrak-Aydemir, N., . . . Žukauskienė, R. (2021). Psychometric properties and correlates of precarious manhood beliefs in 62 nations. *Journal of Cross-Cultural*

- Psychology*, 52(3), 231–258.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0022022121997997>
- Burkley, M., Wong, Y. J., & Bell, A. C. (2016). The masculinity contingency scale (MCS): Scale development and psychometric properties. *Psychology of Men & Masculinity*, 2(17), 113–125.
<https://doi.org/10.1037/a0039211>
- Burlew, L. D., & Shurts, W. M. (2013). Men and body image: Current issues and counseling implications. *Journal of Counseling & Development*, 91(4), 428–435. <https://doi.org/10.1002/j.1556-6676.2013.00114.x>
- Colizza, C. R., Bloom, G. A., & Loughead, T. M. (2024). Dual career in Sport and Non-Sport Work: Exploring experiences of North American professional female Ice hockey players. *Psychology of Sport and Exercise*, 74, Article e102699.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychsport.2024.102699>
- Convertino, A. D., Elbe, C. I., Mendoza, R. R., Calzo, J. P., Brown, T. A., Siegel, J. A., Jun, H., Corliss, H. L., & Blashill, A. J. (2022). Internalization of muscularity and thinness ideals: Associations with body dissatisfaction, eating disorder symptoms, and muscle dysmorphic symptoms in at risk sexual minority men. *International Journal of Eating Disorders*, 55(12), 1765–1776.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/eat.23829>
- Dawson, N., & Hammer, J. H. (2020). No pain, no gains: Conformity to masculine norms, body dissatisfaction, and exercise dependence. *Psychology of Men & Masculinity*, 21(3), 430–440.
<https://doi.org/10.1037/men0000243>
- De Visser, R. O., Mushtaq, M., & Naz, F. (2020). Masculinity beliefs and willingness to seek help among young men in the United Kingdom and Pakistan. *Psychology Health & Medicine*, 27(5), 1052–1062.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/13548506.2020.1847301>
- DiMuccio, S. H., & Knowles, E. D. (2020). Precarious manhood predicts support for aggressive policies and politicians. *Personality & Social Psychology Bulletin*, Knowles, (7), 1169–1187.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0146167220963577>
- DiMuccio, S. H., & Knowles, E. D. (2023). Something to prove? Manhood threats increase political aggression among liberal men. *Sex Roles*, 88(5-6), 240–267. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11199-023-01349-x>

- Edwards, C., Molnar, G., & Tod, D. (2017). Searching for masculine capital: Experiences leading to high drive for muscularity in men. *Psychology of Men & Masculinity*, 18(4), 361–371. [doi:10.1037/men0000072](https://doi.org/10.1037/men0000072)
- Fatima, Z. (2016). Negotiating masculinity through language: the case of pakistani facebook confession pages. *Journal of Gender and Social Issues*, 15(2), 69–92.
- Fatma, M. U. (2022). The mediator role of femininity-masculinity perceptions between body perception and eating attitudes. *Klinik Psikoloji Dergisi*. <https://doi.org/10.5455/kpd.26024438m000094>
- Frederick, D. A. (2017). Precarious manhood and muscularity: Effects of threatening men’s masculinity on reported strength and muscle dissatisfaction. *Body Image*, 22, 156–165. [doi:doi.org/10.1016/j.bodyim.2017.07.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bodyim.2017.07.002)
- Glick, P., Gangl, C., Gibb, S., Klumpner, S., & Weinberg, E. (2007). Defensive reactions to masculinity threat: More negative affect toward effeminate (but not Masculine) *Gay Men. Sex Roles*, 57(1-2), 55–59. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11199-007-9195-3>
- Grieve, R., Indian, M., Witteveen, K., Tolan, G. A., & Marrington, J. (2013). Face-to-face or Facebook: Can social connectedness be derived online? *Computers in Human Behavior*, 29(3), 604–609. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2012.11.017>
- Habib, N., Naveed, S., & Akhtar, C. S. (2024). “Can’t fight with my fate”: Reasons explaining violence-accepting behavior of women in Pakistan. *SAGE Open*, 14(3). <https://doi.org/10.1177/21582440241268358>
- Haslam, N., Bastian, B., Bain, P. G., & Kashima, Y. (2006). Psychological essentialism, implicit theories, and intergroup relations. *Group Processes & Intergroup Relations*, 9, 63–76.
- Hearn, J., De Boise, S., & Goedecke, K. (2023). Men and Masculinities: Structures, Practices, and Identities. In E. L. Zurbruggen, & R. Capdevila, (Eds.), *The palgrave handbook of power, gender, and psychology* (pp. 193–213). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-41531-9_12
- Hegarty, P., & Pratto, F. (2001). The effects of social category norms and stereotypes on explanations for intergroup differences. *Journal of*

personality and social psychology, 80(5), 723–735.
<https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.80.5.723>

- Hunt, C. J., Gonsalkorale, K., & Murray, S. B. (2013). Threatened masculinity and muscularity: An experimental examination of multiple aspects of muscularity in men. *Body Image*, 10(3), 290–299.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bodyim.2013.02.007>
- Jewkes, R., Morrell, R., Hearn, J., Lundqvist, E., Blackbeard, D., Lindegger, G., Quayle, M., Sikweyiya, Y., & Gottzén, L. (2015). Hegemonic masculinity: Combining theory and practice in gender interventions. *Culture Health & Sexuality*, 17(2), 112–127.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/13691058.2015.1085094>
- Kastrup, M., Thompson, K., & Gogineni, R. R. (2023). Men under stress. In R. R. Gogineni, A. J. Pumariega, R. A. Kallivayalil, R. Kallivayalil, M. Kastrup, & E. M. Rothe (Eds.), *The WASP textbook on social psychiatry: historical, developmental, cultural, and clinical perspectives* (pp. 155–168).
- Kessler, R. C., Andrews, G., Colpe, L. J., Hiripi, E., Mroczek, D. K., Normand, S. T., Walters, E. E., & Zaslavsky, A. M. (2002). Short screening scales to monitor population prevalences and trends in non-specific psychological distress. *Psychological Medicine*, 32(6), 959–976.
<https://doi.org/10.1017/s0033291702006074>
- Keum, B. T., Oliffe, J. L., Rice, S. M., Kealy, D., Seidler, Z. E., Cox, D. W., Levant, R. F., & Ogrodniczuk, J. S. (2021). Distress disclosure and psychological Distress among men: the role of feeling understood and loneliness. *Current Psychology*, 42(13), 10533–10542.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s12144-021-02163-y>
- Khan, I., Shah, R. N., Anwar, M., Muzaffar, R., Farhan, S., & Tasawar, K. (2023). Impact of body dissatisfaction, self-objectification, and internalization of media ideals on appearance anxiety in males. *Pakistan Journal of Professional Psychology: Research and Practice*, 14(2), 1–9.
<https://doi.org/10.62663/pjpprp.v14i2.126>
- Kinmore, A.-L. K. (2023). *Trans Men: Precarious Manhood and the Paradox of Hegemonic Masculinity* [Bachelor thesis, Portland State University]. PDX Scholar.
<https://pdxscholar.library.pdx.edu/honorstheses/1257/>

- Levant, R. F., & Richmond, K. (2016). The gender role strain paradigm and masculinity ideologies. In Y. J. Wong, & S. R. Wester (Eds.), *APA handbook of men and masculinities* (pp. 23–49). American Psychological Association. <https://doi.org/10.1037/14594-002>
- Mankowski, E., & Smith, R. (2016). *Men's mental health and masculinities*. Elsevier. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-397045-9.00182-8>
- Mathew, B., Purva, A., Elena, D., Dimova., & Catherine, B. (2020). Associations between body dissatisfaction and self-reported anxiety and depression in otherwise healthy men: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLOS ONE*, *15*(2), Article e0229268. <http://doi.org/10.1371/JOURNAL.PONE.0229268>
- McFarland, M. B., & Petrie, T. A. (2012). Male body satisfaction: Factorial and construct validity of the body parts satisfaction scale for men. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, *59*(2), 329–337. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0026777>
- Mills, J., Manea, A., & McCreary, D. (2023). Precarious manhood manifests as drive for muscularity among men. *Sex Roles*, *88*, 547–555. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11199-023-01369-7>
- Naqi, S., Iqbal, N., & Gull, A. (2022). Self-objectification and body shame: A study about appearance anxiety among Pakistani students participating in sports. *THE SKY-International Journal of Physical Education and Sports Sciences*, *6*, 30–46. <https://doi.org/10.51846/the-sky.v6i0.1653>
- Olivia, G., Nick, G., Laura, S., & Julia, F. (2020). Examining body dissatisfaction in college men through the exploration of appearance anxiety and internalization of the mesomorphic ideal. *Journal of American College Health*, *69*(5), 560–566. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07448481.2019.1704412>
- Pritchard, M., Brasil, K., McDermott, R., & Holdiman, A. (2021). Untangling the associations between generalized anxiety and body dissatisfaction: The mediating effects of social physique anxiety among collegiate men and women. *Body Image*, *39*, 266–275. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bodyim.2021.10.002>
- Saini, D. (2023). *Body image dissatisfaction: Self-Esteem and exercise motive among men and women in a university setting*. PsyArXiv Preprints. <https://doi.org/10.31234/osf.io/4hdt8>

- Saunders, J. F., Nutter, S., Waugh, R., & Hayden, K. A. (2024). Testing body-related components of objectification theory: A meta-analysis of the relations between body shame, self-objectification, and body dissatisfaction. *Body Image*, *50*, Article e101738. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bodyim.2024.101738>
- Stice, E., & Shaw, H. E. (2002). Role of body dissatisfaction in the onset and maintenance of eating pathology: A synthesis of research findings. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*, *53*(5), 985–993. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0022-3999\(02\)00488-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0022-3999(02)00488-9)
- Strong, S. M., Singh, D., & Randall, P. K. (2000). Childhood gender nonconformity and body dissatisfaction in gay and heterosexual men. *Sex Roles*, *43*(7/8), 427–439. <https://doi.org/10.1023/a:1007126814910>
- Troisi, A. (2020). Body image and body dissatisfaction. In A. Troisi (Ed.), *Bariatric Psychology and Psychiatry* (pp. 33–39). Springer.
- Vandello, J. A., & Bosson, J. K. (2013). Hard won and easily lost: A review and synthesis of theory and research on precarious manhood. *Psychology of Men & Masculinity*, *14*(2), 101–113.
- Vandello, J. A., Bosson, J. K., Cohen, D., & Burnaford, R. M. (2008). Precarious manhood. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *95*(6), 1325–1339. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0012453>
- Vandello, J. A., Kubicki, R., & Upton, R. A. (2024). Young men’s sexual health through the lens of precarious manhood. *Health Education Journal*, *0*(0). <https://doi.org/10.1177/00178969241254280>
- Vandello, J. A., Wilkerson, M., Bosson, J. K., Wiernik, B. M., & Kosakowska-Berezecka, N. (2022). Precarious manhood and men’s physical health around the world. *Psychology of Men & Masculinity*, *24*(1), 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1037/men0000407>
- Walther, A., Rice, T., & Eggenberger, L. (2023). Precarious manhood beliefs are positively associated with erectile dysfunction in cisgender men. *Archives of Sexual Behavior*, *52*(7), 3123–3138. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10508-023-02640-4>