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Abstract

Although, Indonesians are known as the most ‘tolerant’ nation but the radical turn of events over the period of time has transformed their religious tolerance into extremism. Therefore, several movements have started to alter Indonesia's Islamic model into a one more compatible with that of the Arab world. These are not social conflicts but could become reason of provoking different social conflicts. It has been claimed that these social conflicts occur because Indonesia has followed westernization, Christianization, secularism, liberalism and the unfair attitude of the West in the Middle East conflicts, especially between Palestine and Israel. The majority of Indonesians are Muslims therefore, democratization is studied thoroughly using a religious moderation theory in the context of Islamic religion and religious doctrine This study aims to discuss Fazlur Rahman's double movement hermeneutics as an Islamic moderation concept by using Qur'anic references. This moderation concept could be applied to the Indonesian context. A bibliographical survey and an interpretive method have been employed to reveal a specific nature of religious moderation, which prohibits Muslims from taking an extreme stance about religious provisions. Moderation is not limited to religious attitudes but includes all aspects of life. Therefore, this study implicates that if Fazlur Rehman’s Islamic moderation concepts are practiced comprehensively in Indonesia then social harmony would prevail as a social phenomenon.
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Introduction

Despite of the fact that, Indonesia is a vast and very diverse country, Indonesia has diverse cultures, religions, ethnicities, and languages; in other words, it is a multicultural nation. Although, diversity is a nation’s strength, it could also present a significant problem because it could become a catalyst for any conflict. Religions may turn into a hazardous source of conflict if they are not properly handled because religions touch the most sensitive and emotional side of human emotions. The diversity and safety of Indonesia has attracted and urged the researchers interest. One of the positive growing elements in Indonesia is a moderate model. The Ministry of Home Affairs (MHA) of Indonesia reported that the total Muslim population in Indonesia is 237.53 million people as
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recorded in December 31, 2021. This number is equivalent to 86.9% of the country's population which reached 273.32 million people recently; most of this population applies the Shafi‘i Mazhab.4

Several scholarly studies at top universities in the US, European countries, and Australia5 reported that Indonesian Muslims are much more committed to Islamic ideas and practices. Therefore, Islam in Indonesia has been developed as a result of a lengthy dialectical process with very diverse Indonesian cultures. The analytical process forms a separate model of Indonesian Islam that is different from Islamic models in the Middle Eastern countries. The Indonesian Islamic model refers to the wasati (balanced) model that brings the concept of moderation to Indonesian Islam. Unfortunately, this discovery does not assure that Indonesian Muslims could become a model for Islam worldwide. In contrast, some Indonesian Muslims believe that their faith is less “pure,” similar to syncretism, and different from Arab Muslims. Recently, a ‘purification movement’ inspired by Islamic practices in Arab world has begun which provided a clear picture of Islamic interpretations as a religious of Qur’ān and Sunnah. As mentioned earlier, protracted conflicts in the Arab-Islamic world could not illustrate or represent the Islamic worldview.

Several movements have started to alter Indonesia's Islamic model into a one more compatible with that of the Arab world. However, these movements have caused multiple social conflicts, such as more severe intolerance and more frequent extreme acts. It is claimed that these social conflicts occur because Indonesia has imitated or adopted western culture (Westernization),6 Christianization activities,7 secularism, liberalism, and the unfair attitude of the West in the Middle East conflicts, especially between Palestine and Israel. Several radical efforts have emerged such as the Bali Bombings in 2002, the Surabaya Three Church Bombings in 2018, and the Makassar Cathedral Church Suicide Bombing in 2021.8 Islamic moderation in Indonesia did not face challenges posed by extremism, radicalization, and terrorism. These initiatives and movements are a result of the international Islamic movement’s knowledge and application.9

---
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Radical actions occur in Indonesia, other Islamic nations, and Western nations. Since September 11, 2001 the concept of ‘Moderation’ in religion, particularly in Islam has received a lot of attention from the world, especially from West. However, western world is interested in religious moderation in Islamic nations because this moderation is frequently traged by radicalism. Therefore, western discourse on religious moderation discusses directions of liberalism and secularism more frequently. Of course, these ideas disagree with Islamic moderation principles. Secularism and liberalism are extreme ideologies that run against Islamic principles. Consequently, Indonesians, particularly Muslim minorities are not motivated by Western ideology like secularism, and liberalism but, by the Islamic teachings for the application of religious moderation. The Islamic understanding of religious moderation is applicable in Indonesia and is discussed in this current research. One of the understandings is proposed by Fazlur Rahman’s interpretation which involves two movements: going back in time and placing proper contexts for authentic Islamic interpretation.

2. Literature Review

2.1 Fazlur Rahman's Hermeneutics

A new reading or interpretation of the Qur’ān is the foundation of Fazlur Rahman's reform plan. Fazlur Rahman incorporated an idiosyncratic conventional methodology for a systematic interpretation to highlight his concept of hermeneutics. This methodology focuses on social and legal issues. Therefore, Rahman employed a logical-synthesis process rather than a systematic interpretation technique to address metaphysical and theological topics. He further asserted that none of the hermeneutic techniques can help him interpret the scriptures as he desires. Therefore, the selected theory does not come from one hermeneutic expert. Rahman has developed a methodology that consists of two parts: the socio-historical approach (initiated in 1970) and the theory of dual movements (1982). As a result, ‘double movement hermeneutics’ is a more popular name for Rahman’s hermeneutics. To simplify this concept, double movement hermeneutics combined several simultaneous motions with a socio-historical perspective.

Additionally, Rahman puts the socio-historical approach into practice in the following stages. Firstly, he defined Sunnah and Hadith in detail. He characterized Sunnah in this context as a standard that Muslim generations in the past aspired to adapt the Prophet's (SAW) teachings according to their particular needs and new knowledge. Although, Sunnah varies depending on the locality, this interpretation is ongoing and evolving and is also known as the Sunnah. Therefore, Rahman argued that Sunnah is a practical tradition of Prophet (SAW) while Hadith is the verbal tradition of Prophet (SAW). Secondly, Rahman exhibited high respect but only in proportion.

Rahman’s Sunnah is divided into three categories: sunnah ideal, actual sunnah, and the sunnah of life. Based on this concept, Rahman divided the understanding of Hadith and Sunnah into two kinds. Firstly, Sunnah is “normative” and comes in Sunnah with verbal values. The idea of Sunnah, which is considered as an example, protection, and guidance, refers to the Prophet (SAW) and is specific; thus, this concept requires historical-sociological understanding. Sunnah in a normative context is called ‘hadith’. Secondly, the non-verbal Sunnah in the form of the Prophet's (SAW) tradition is carried out secretly or in ‘a silent living tradition’. Then, this Sunnah is interpreted by the friends of the Prophet (SAW) and people who have the enthusiasm to imitate the Prophet's (SAW) behaviour.

Rahman did not want to adopt any hadith that is not criticized. The criterion is the conformity of the hadith text (matan) with the teachings of the Qur’ānic references and reasons. The example of authentic Hadiths from Bukhari and Muslim rejected by Rahman is as follows: ‘Whoever says there is no god but Allah’ will enter Heaven. As for the question of the Companions about whether

the gates of Heaven will be opened for those who say it but they commit adultery and steal, the Prophet agreed. Furthermore, Rahman argued that this Hadith is not acceptable because it contradicts with Qur’an. The Qur’an strictly does not separate the righteous deeds and faith. According to Qur’an, it is impossible to assess someone’s religion without also observing their real performance of good deeds.

The socio-historical method only requires context when the verse is revealed. Therefore, it is necessary to take the next step that is ‘Dual Movement Hermeneutics’, to contextualize today's readers. The dual motion hermeneutics is Fazlur Rahman’s most original and strategic work. Hermeneutics dual movement is a theory to understand the Qur’an by looking at current situation, the time when the Qur’an was revealed, and finally back to the present. Therefore, Rahman’s approach is intended toward the texts of the past that are still applicable today. Dual motion hermeneutics consists of two stages: (a) the distinction of a certain law from moral ideals and (b) the application of these moral ideals to certain cases today.

Fazlur Rahman makes a clear distinction between the literal interpretation of Qur’an’s legal provisions, which raise specific rules, norms, and regulations, and moral ideals or Qur’an’s core principles, which are given as a gift to nature. Therefore, virtues of justice (‘qīṣṭ), fraternity (‘aḳẖawah), and equality are prioritized in fundamental concepts (musawah). Consequently, Qur’anic teachings and practices are focused on the understanding of moral principles and ethical worldview. The first movement includes following two stages:

a. Understanding the meaning of verses of Qur’an concerning specific teachings is a response to particular situations. The primary focus of this stage is to look at the micro context of the verse, namely narrow situation that occurred in the Prophet’s (SAW) environment when Qur’an was revealed. In this stage, asbab an-nuzul plays an important role.

b. Specific answers are generalized and expressed as statements with a general moral-social goal (moral ideal) by paying attention to a macro context—a situation that occurs on a broader scale and involves society, religion, and Arab customs at the time of the arrival of Islam, especially Mecca and its surroundings. This stage produces desired general moral-social goals behind the specific response.

The second movement is a process that goes from general to specific ideas that must be formulated and followed now. This requires a thorough evaluation of current state and an understanding of all constituent parts. Therefore, Rahman consistently emphasized Qur’an’s strong moral ethos. The importance of upholding morality has been emphasized throughout Islamic history because this moral vision has been compromised as a result of social, economic, and political goals. It seems that Islam has educated its followers to use violence rather than promoting social justice, freedom, equality, tolerance, and other ideals.

3. Discussion

3.1 Moderating Specific Legal Religious Issues

The 286 verses of Surah Al-Baqarah of Qur’an were revealed in Medina. At that time, tribes from all across Medina, including original Arabs, Jews, and Persians, had already transformed the city into a ‘cosmopolitan’ and ‘international’ City. Therefore, there were already Jewish and
Christian communities residing in this city. It indicates that Medina was an extremely diverse city with different races, nations, religions, civilizations, and world views. Therefore, problems in heterogeneous communities are undoubtedly more complex than in homogeneous societies. These difficulties are described in the number of verses of Surah Al-Baqarah. Al-Baqarah had been descended for ten years while Prophet (SAW) was staying in Medina because Al Baqarah covers many significant themes according to the complexities of communities residing in Medina. The name of this Surah ‘Al-Baqarah’, refers to a central theme that describes the story of children of Israel with a cow. Hence, Surah Al-Baqarah explains and proves the truth of the holy book and therefore, it is appropriately guided and followed.

In its long history, the children of Israel have spread to various places, including Mecca and Medina. Medina was already a place of migration and residence for a large number of Jews. Moreover, Medina was known as a fertile agricultural land and bustling trade. Judaism also occupy an important position in a religious context because of its strong relationship with the Prophets. Thus, Jews controlled the socio-economic and spiritual aspects in Medina. Given that strong position to Jews in Medina, both socially and religiously, Jews could not accept being an object of Prophet’s da’wah (proselytization). Therefore, they showed their hostility by emphasizing hypocrites and Meccan polytheists. A similar case occurred with Christians who had expanded to different locations in the first six to seven centuries AD. Before the Prophet (SAW) settled in Medina, Christians had lived there, though their life was not similar to Jews. It implies that Christianity and Judaism were the only known religions in Mecca and Medina prior to the prophethood of Muhammad (SAW).

3.2 Ideal Moral of Religious Moderation

Wasat refers to moderation in Arabic. A well-known hadith explained that the best difficulty is being the middle (medium). The fundamental message of Islam is moderation. The relevance of moderate views is an important aspect of diversity in all fields including religions, cultures, ethnicities, and nations as a whole. Moderation in Islam is a religious philosophy. The word ‘wasat’ in Arabic has same meaning as the words tawassut (middle), i'tidal (fair), and tawazun (balanced). Thus, moderation in an Islamic perspective has at least three main meanings: intermediate, fair, and balanced. The middle is the original meaning of wasat while fair and balanced are meanings of ta’wil (far-meaning). Al-Tabari takes the meaning of fair ta’wil when interpreting the word wasat in Al-Baqarah: 143. He asserted that wasat means fair because it has a good meaning.

Additionally, Rahman like Al-Tabari, transformed the term wasata into fair. Rahman offered three justifications for considering the word wasata into the word fair. Firstly, the word wasat linguistically means ‘in the middle.’ The position in the middle means being far from excessive and deficient ends because this position is far from the two extremes. Secondly, the word wasat (middle) means not inclined to one side with any of the disputing parties. Wasat also means fair. Thirdly, because God made Muslims witnesses, the verse (QS. Al-Baqarah 143) content is praised in the context of practicing religion and will serve as a witness of deeds. Then, the people who are just can only be true witnesses.

Rahman also interpreted wasat as the best. QS. Al-Baqarah: 143 explained that Muslims are an umma wasata, which indicates the best people. QS. Ali Imran: 110 states: “You (Muslims) are the best people, born to men, (for you) told (to do) what is right, and forbid what is wrong, and believe
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in Allah... “. According to Fazlur Rahman, every verse of Qur’an has a basic idea, which brings blessings to all worlds. This basic idea prioritizes values of justice (‘adl), brotherhood (i‘tikaf), and equality (musawah). According to Rahman, the contents of Qur’an must be understood by prioritizing moral values or having an ethical vision. We know that Prophet Muhammad (SAW) is the best human being (a mercy for all creation) created by Allah in religious moderation from the specific laws. In moderation, religion should not cross the line by making the Prophet the supreme being. In straightforward language, religious moderation forbids defying any noble personality.

As explained above, umma wasata or moderate ummah has three main characteristics: middle, just, and balanced. These three characteristics are not just limited to the meaning of a belief but a model of behaviour in all aspects of life. In other words, religious moderation is an essential aspect of Islamic model of life. Moderation contains many ramifications in various areas concerning Islam. The concept of moderation refers to important moral qualities for someone’s life as well as the nation’s and community’s integrity and self-sense. Religious moderation, taught by Islam, contains most suitable values and practices to learn the benefit of humanity.

3.3 Indonesian Context

Despite of all the facts Puritan, radical, or extreme fanatic groups have emerged in almost all Muslim-majority countries, including Indonesia. In Indonesia, the ethics of reform era has opened the faucet of freedom more widely; dominant groups also started to show themselves more confidently. However, it has resulted in tension, intolerance, and horizontal conflicts in society. Moreover, there have been vertical conflicts between extremist groups or jihadis and the state in the form of terrorism. Hizbut Tahrir in Indonesia (HTI) wanted to change the form and basis of the Republic of Indonesia into a caliphate and change Pancasila as the nation’s ideology into Islamic Shari’a; these intentions have been manifested in various activities. For almost ten years HTI got a free stage. On 12 August 2007, the climax was that the HTI massively celebrated the International Caliphate Conference (ICC) at Bung Karno Stadium (GBK) of Jakarta attended by more than 100,000 people. The phenomenon of Islamist extremisms, such as the HTI, has led to horizontal tensions and social conflicts. The most prominent examples of pressure and intolerance destruct the basis of Ahmadiyya Islam and the expulsion of the Shia Islamic community from Sampang community.
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The conflict does not only exist due to religions’ differences but also accumulate several problems and interests, such as political, economic, and social issues. However, regardless of certain factors of interest, internal and external differences in religious understanding or views have caused conflicts between groups and probably became the primary reasons. These facts have proven the need for religious moderation.

In the early stage, the development of Shia and its da’wah patterns did not experience many conflicts with other groups. The principle of taqiyah is used to avoid pressure from the authorities. During the first period, relations between Sunnis and Shiites in Indonesia were, in general, very good and friendly. Therefore, this condition is unlike the condition that happens in other countries, such as Pakistan\textsuperscript{31}, Iraq, or Saudi Arabia.\textsuperscript{32} However, there have been several incidents; for example such as the assassination of Hamzah Fansuri for being accused of spreading the ideology of wujût al-wujûd.\textsuperscript{33} However, the anti-Shia agenda in Indonesia has increased as an example of intolerance and extreme acts. Hundreds of Shia residents fled after the incident of burning their prayer rooms and houses in Nangkernang, Karang Gayam Village, Omben District. After the burning of residence, the Shia Muslims were still expelled from the refugee camps in Sampang in 2020. This expulsion was even supported by the district government, which did not conduct dialogues with the leader and residents of the Shia; consequently, the anti-Shia movement occurred. Finally, hundreds of Sampang Shia refugees were sworn to become Sunnis if they had been willingly to return home.

Other than Shia that were residing in Indonesia, there was another organization, called Jemaat Ahmadiyah Indonesia (JAI). This organization was included in the minority group of Islamic organizations in Indonesia. Although, this group has been established in Indonesia since 1925, its existence is now being questioned. In the beginning, the question was a matter of belief about the concept of prophethood. The mainstream in Islam generally considers Ahmadi views, regarding Mirza Ghulam Ahmad, the founder of the organization, deviating from Islamic teachings conveyed by “final prophet” Muhammad (khatam an-nabiyyin).\textsuperscript{34} There are several incidents of intolerance experienced by Ahmadiyah, such as acts of violence against Ahmadiyah in Cikeuisik 2011,\textsuperscript{35} attack...
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on Ahmadiyah residents in East Lombok in 2018, and attack on Ahmadiyah Mosque in Sintang in 2021.

Unlike Shia and Ahmadiyah, HTI is an Islamic organization banned by Indonesian government because its concept contradicts Pancasila as basis of the Republic of Indonesia. Hizbut-Tahrir (HT) is a pan-Islamic political movement dedicated to reshape Islamic caliphate by implementing sharia (Islamic laws) and spreading Islamic da’wah worldwide. HTI was introduced in Indonesia in 1982 by Abdul Rahman al-Baghdadi, an Australian HT activist. He was relocated to Bogor due to the request of KH Abdullah bin Nuh who served as the leader of Al-Ghazali Islamic Boarding School, Bogor. Since then, this movement has been introduced on many campuses in Indonesia and popularized its mission among academics. As a result, HTI members are mostly intellectuals, especially students.

Therefore, the three case studies of Shia, Ahmadiyah, and HTI have described highly different interpretations of a belief and have led to create different religious organizations and sects in Indonesia. Islamic moderation in Indonesian contexts could be more widely applied by Muslims and non-Muslims to avoid radicalism, terrorism, and violence. For example, the 2019 presidential election shows an excessive attitude in siding one of contestants and has led to a prolonged sense of hostility. Supporters’ barriers have not been completely resolved even though presidential election has passed more than two years ago. There is still tension between two supporter sides of the presidential candidates: Joko Widodo and Prabowo Subianto. Therefore, political passion is prioritized and no one follows the moderation attitude as taught by Islamic teachings. Indonesia is a very heterogeneous nation that requires a moderate attitude in all aspects of life. Diversity is a source of strength from a positive point of view, but it could be a frightening source of conflict if it is not managed well. Such a condition also proves that great work of religious moderation project should be socialized more widely to bring a great influence and cover all Indonesians. Moderate reaffirmation of religion is needed by Indonesia because this is the only concept to create Indonesian with pluralistic, peaceful, and democratic values.

3.4 Solving Religious Conflicts in Indonesia

Social conflicts between Islamic organizations and beliefs are caused by different ways of thinking and interpreting their idiosyncratic beliefs. Indonesia is a pluralistic and diverse country

---

with many cultures and religions. However, this country has serious issues regarding religious perceptions.

For example, the differences between Sunni and Shia beliefs in Indonesia are presented in Table 1. These differences would not find a solution if moderate Islamic thoughts are not applied. Thus, solutions could find a middle way that does not hurt both parties. Several studies on conflict resolution between the majority of Sunni-Muslim and minority of Shia in Sampang have discovered that space for reconciliation between the refugee community and the community in which they come from tends to close, especially in case of Shia refugees because main condition is repentance to return to Sunni. These conditions certainly burden Shia citizens. In Indonesia, the state does not have adequate capacity to resolve conflicts between majority and minority because it tends to succumb to pressure from the Sunni-Muslim majority. The government has made maximum efforts to resolve the Shia conflict. For example, the visit of President SBY and the Minister of Religion to Sampang as well as the formation of a reconciliation team led by the Chancellor of State Islamic University of Surabaya, Prof. Abdul Ala. Unfortunately, the team only provides recommendations that are not implemented.

Table 1. Differences between Shia and Sunni Muslims

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicators</th>
<th>Shia</th>
<th>Sunni</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tauhid Concept</strong></td>
<td>Pure Tawhid must be conceived with Imamat, and Shites consider Ali and the leaders of the descendants of the Prophet Muhammad as Imams.</td>
<td>the Khilafah and Imam are considered equivalent positions to the head of a state who could be elected through the assembly; thus, they are not related to Tawhid.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pillars of Islam</strong></td>
<td>Shahadah is not included in the pillars of Islam, is just, and represents the creed that must be pledged.</td>
<td>Shahadah is a requirement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ablution</strong></td>
<td>Following the advice of Surah Al-Maidah verse 6</td>
<td>Following the Sunnah</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Madhab</strong></td>
<td>The Shah applies three main Madhab (Islamic schools): Zaydu, Ismail, and Ashariyya</td>
<td>The Sunni applies four main Madhab (Islamic schools): Hanafi, Maliki, Syafi’I, and Hambali.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Processed by Author

Therefore, this extreme attitude is rooted in exclusivism, which assumes that the truth is singular and only belongs to a group. If truth claims are rooted to personal territory, it would not be a source

---


of conflict. In contrast, if the claim enters the public domain, then the claim of truth would become a source of a complicated conflict. For this reason, the role of government is necessary. Indonesia could neither succumb to the majority nor agree with a concept that violates the constitution, such as HTI, because extremist people disagree with moderation. Based on the aforementioned definition of religious moderation, religious extremism is defined as a perspective, attitude, and behavior that goes beyond the limits of moderation to understand and practice a religion. Religious moderation does not compromise on theological beliefs between religions but practice a firm attitude. Religious moderation is also not what Western culture perceived, which according to them is secular and liberal. Religious moderation is a part of religious perfection (kaifah) and constitutes a model of diversity with universal values, such as justice, equality, compassion, and balance.

3.5 Indicators of Religious Moderation

Religious moderation has four indicators: 1) national commitment, 2) tolerance, 3) anti-violence, and 4) accommodation of local culture. The first indicator, is national commitment, which postulates that religious moderation could be recognized, especially in Indonesian context. It is common to find fundamentalists who oppose religious teachings with national concepts and values. Fundamentalists assume that holy book determines everything in detail so that there is no room for ‘ijtihād’ (independent reasoning). For example, a state based on Pancasila (five pillars of Indonesia’s national ideology) is considered “taghūt” (beyond the measure) because it is not based on Islamic Sharī’ah. Moreover, fundamentalists do not consider the reality that Indonesia consists of various ethnicities, religions, and races (Bhinneka Tunggal Ika). Therefore, they try to replace the basic ideology of the state with Islamic Sharī’ah. Their understanding is more textual that is why they are more concerned with literal texts rather than substance. Additionally, the previous scholars see the essence of Pancasila as similar to Islamic values. Therefore, this statement is supported by other religious leaders who consider that Pancasila follows their religious values and norms. On that basis, the previous scholars and other religious leaders considered that Pancasila was most appropriate ideology for the state’s base. Hence, religious moderation perceives that carrying out obligations as citizens is a form of performing their religious teachings.

The second indicator is tolerance, which explains that several series of riots in Indonesia are more inclined to have social, economic, political, and religious nuances; for example, the incident of an intolerant act in Indonesia’s capital city during a regional head election. Intolerance conflicts have been recently crystallized using the pretexts of religions, cultures, politics, ethnicities, and the media as a triggering/manipulating tool. The latest survey by the Politics Research and Consulting (PRC) and the Indonesian Political Parameters (PPI) indicated that there are still some intolerant activities, ethnic and religious tolerance in Indonesia which is considered good. Various tolerances in Indonesia include adherents of the majority religion in a society, the existence of other minority religions, Muslims’ guarding a church at Christmas Mass, and mutual respect for other religions. Indonesia has built inter-religious and intra-religious tolerance. A tolerant world does not provide a room for takfiri (ex-communication), which disbelief in different groups. Tolerance also

---

presupposes the absence of majority and minority dichotomies. Majority and minority groups have the same rights and obligations to maintain a peaceful life.48

The third indicator is non-violence which explains that religious moderation obligates people to obey the applicable laws and regulations. Therefore, Social systems should be changed constitutionally. It is unbearable to justify violence and radicalism in the name of religion or God. The main factors in the occurrence of violence and radicalism are the perception of injustice and threats. When these factors are framed ideologically, acts of violence in the name of religion and God are (perceived) right. Indonesia has gradually begun to issue regulations to prevent the occurrence of social violence, especially religious conflicts. Religious problems and conflicts which usually start from false information on the internet media. To reduce the misuse of information, the Indonesian government has stipulated the ITE law (UU ITE) to limit the public from making false or hoax arguments,49 certifies Dai to counter radicalism,50 and strengthens material or sharing sessions on religious moderation in the form of Religious Moderation Houses at several major universities in Indonesia.51

The fourth indicator is accommodating the local culture because for a certain community, culture is a product of profane human traditions and is not worthy of being juxtaposed with sacred religion. However, this country has serious issues regarding religious perceptions. For example, the potential for radicalism potentially occurs in Tapanuli, an area that covers the west coast of North Sumatra to the coast of Lake Toba, Indonesia. In this area, a conflict occurred when a church was burnt in Padang Lawas of Tapanuli in 2011. The clashes between Muslims and non-Muslims in Portibi area of North Padang Lawas District in 2016 were related to the prohibition of worship for ethnic Chinese. A conflict between Muslims and non-Muslims in Aek Badak in 2017 was related to public facility services. Meanwhile, in the Sipeng area, Mandailing Natal Regency, clashes occurred because of blasphemy by non-Muslims that triggered the residents.52 The undeveloped value system of Tapanuli as an industrial or contemporary culture has made this problem more complicated. The awareness and worldview of the global community are traditional and have the characteristics of a community, religious mystification, and a strong patron-client spirit. Moreover, the awareness and worldview of the global community hold an awareness of life, disagree with modernization or industrialization, and only take place in physical structures. If these conflicts continuously happen, Indonesia will suffer. Society has to learn that a nation could solve any issue without shedding blood. Cultural understanding plays a big role in this incident because Indonesians have diverse backgrounds. Therefore, many cultures are created and they faced a conflict if they are combined

with religious understanding. For this reason, Indonesia has started moderation programs on the basis of moderate attitude toward religion who has a friendly and non-rigid tendency toward local traditions and culture which create belief.

Based on the four indicators, Indonesian government has serious conflicts if the problem of different interpretations of religion continuous to occurs. The Indonesian government has applied several concepts to find the middle point of any differences in religious views in society and aggressively stipulated laws and regulations, such as maintaining social media traffic with ITE law, conducting the Da‘ī Certification, and collaborating with universities in Indonesia to develop religious moderation modules. Finding a certain middle point aims to find a solution so that violence will not occur again in future.

Each verse of Qur’ān has a basic idea that provides a blessing to all world. This basic idea puts forward the values of justice (‘adl), brotherhood (akhwah), and equality (musawah). According to Rahman, understanding the contents of Qur’ān must prioritize moral values or have an ethical vision. Moderately, religion should not cross the line by making the Prophet as God. In other words, religious moderation forbids the deification of any noble being. The afore mentioned explanation denotes that the moderate umma has three main characteristics middle, fair, and balanced characteristics. The contradiction between moderate model of Indonesian Islam and ‘pure’ Arabic Islam shows that religious moderation is not religious teaching. The strict and violent ‘purification’ movement has received an opposite response from moderate groups and managed to become liberals. The extreme ‘purification’ movement and extreme liberal movement are very permissive towards other cultures and civilizations that are a serious threat for religious moderation, especially in Indonesia. Islamic moderation model is expected to solve intolerance and radicalism problems. Therefore, Islamic moderation should be a mainstream model for Islamic diversity, not only in Indonesia but also in other countries of the world.

4. Conclusion

Muslims have a moderate character because Islam’s central doctrine is religious moderation. The spirit of moderation is not leaning towards exaggeration (ifrāt) or underestimating (tafrīt) various issues related to religion or the world. The concept of moderation does not teach Muslims to extremely apply Islamic doctrine and oversimplify religious provisions. Therefore, the moderation teachings have not only made Muslims materialistic but they also enabled them to focus on the spiritual aspects of life. Muslims must synergize material and spiritual rights, and they should not neglect one side of the other. This synergy is in harmony with human nature, which consists of body and spirit. Moderation is not limited to religious attitudes but includes all aspects of life. Therefore, this study implicates that if Fazlur Rehman’s Islamic moderation concepts are practiced comprehensively in Indonesia then social harmony would prevail as a social phenomenon. Moreover, due to this social harmony competition and rivalry between religions and government in Indonesia would not lead to horizontal or vertical conflicts. Since, the predominant religion in Indonesia is Islam, therefore behavior of its followers could significantly impact the country.
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