Article QR

Title:	**Role of Teachers in Cultivating Global Citizens through Peace Education: Perceptions of Secondary School Students in Lahore**
Author (s):	Ashar Johnson Khokhar, and Haroon Gill
Affiliation (s):	Forman Christian College (A Chartered University), Lahore, Pakistan
DOI:	https://doi.org/10.32350/uer.72.01
History:	Received: May 16, 2024, Revised: September 11, 2024, Accepted: November 24, 2024, Published: December 28, 2024
Citation:	Khokhar, A. J., & Gill, H. (2024). Role of teachers in cultivating global citizens through peace education: perceptions of secondary school students in Lahore. *UMT Education Review*, *7*(2), 01–21. https://doi.org/10.32350/uer.72.01
Copyright:	© The Authors
Licensing:	This article is open access and is distributed under the terms of Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License
Conflict of Interest:	Author(s) declared no conflict of interest

Role of Teachers in Cultivating Global Citizens through Peace Education: Perceptions of Secondary School Students in Lahore

Ashar Johnson Khokhar*, and Haroon Gill

Forman Christian College (A Chartered University), Lahore, Pakistan

Abstract

This research investigates the challenges and opportunities associated with peace education in Pakistan. It aims to examine the role of teachers in promoting peace education and establishing support systems for students to enable them to cultivate a positive perception of peace education. Keeping in view the existing literature, this research is the first of its kind to explore the role of teachers in promoting peace education in Pakistani students. For this purpose, a quantitative research method was employed and data were collected from private high schools in Lahore. The data collection tool was administered to 389 students from the secondary section (Classes 9 and 10) of these schools. The number of responses discarded was eighty (80). They were discarded due to errors in submission forms, such as incomplete forms, checking multiple items instead of one, and overwriting. The remaining 309 responses were considered valid, and students' views on Teachers Role in Peace Education and students Perception of Peace Education were explored. The data were analyzed using SPSS 27.0. Regression analysis revealed a positive relationship between TRPE and SPPE. The findings support the existing literature on teachers' role in promoting peace education and how teachers may lead students to peace-building ideas through their pedagogical practices. This research also supports the idea of training teachers to integrate peace education aspects in their practices.

Keywords: peace education, students' perception of peace education, student support system, teachers' role in peace education

Introduction

The second half of the 20th century witnessed a shift in the understanding of educational spaces (schools) and educational materials (curriculum and textbooks). The governments made changes focusing on a new and emerging set of competencies and skills due to technological advancements, migration from the Southern block to the Northern block, and globalization.

---

*Corresponding Author: asharkhokhar@fccollege.edu.pk

This also brought new challenges for policymakers, teachers, parents, and students at domestic, regional, and international levels. For example, the state reimagined the focus and purpose of education to meet national needs and address international demands on the state (Snauwaert, 2020). During this time period, one of the newly introduced concepts was peace education, with the state emphasizing its significance and how educational spaces and materials could foster it. This was particularly crucial after the devastation witnessed worldwide during World War II and numerous regional conflicts leading to wars between neighboring countries, occasionally involving international powers such as the USA and Russia (Hajir & Kester, 2020).

Peace education has emerged as an important area to cultivate the values and skills that foster peace (Sagkal et al., 2012). It is also suggested that teachers play a crucial role in educating students about conflict resolution, social justice, and human rights. In addition, an important objective of teachers is to equip their students with competencies that may promote non-violent behaviors (Hajir & Kester, 2020). This shift in education is not only due to the ever-increasing conflict in the world but also due to rapid globalization. In this globalized arena, the education system, specifically teachers, must educate students about peace and tolerance. According to Arslan et al. (2015), cross-cultural interaction resulting from migration and economic independence has made it necessary for the education system to foster peace, tolerance, and cooperation among individuals. The concept of global citizenship is at the core of peace education, involving the recognition that an individual has an identity beyond boundaries and is part of a global community. It is suggested that global citizens are empathetic, culturally aware, and socially committed (Davies, 2006; Risberg, 2022). The role of teachers is crucial in promoting these values and they serve as facilitators of peace education by creating a classroom environment based on tolerance, inclusion, and critical thinking (Galtung, 1969). Teachers play a crucial role in fostering peace education among students, and this study seeks to examine how teachers' instructional methods, behaviors, and classroom practices both within and beyond the curriculum help promote global citizenship values. This will be explored through various classroom activities, discussions, and teacher-student interactions in peace education settings.

Research Questions

Based on the above information, this study seeks to address the following research questions:

RQ1: What is the impact of teachers on shaping students global citizenship values through peace education, their pedagogies, classroom practices, and behaviors?

RQ2: What roles do demographics (age, socioeconomic status, and class type) play in shaping students perception of peace education?

Literature Review

Peace has remained an allusive concept since the beginning of humanity, and it is evident from the understanding of this concept. Peace, throughout history, has been used as a tool by ruling political forces to organize and protect the interests of the powerful (Richmond, 2023). The major world religions practiced today or in the past "have preached the principles of peace and nonviolence, even while their adherents have acted with extreme barbarity towards believers and non-believers alike" (Hancock & Solomon, 2020, p. 9). They understood peace as the absence of conflict and war. Industrialization and modernism promoted a scientific approach towards understanding peace, especially after witnessing the destruction and loss of life in every part of the world, either directly or indirectly. Peace itself became a complex idea to understand and interpret. Academics referred to it as negative peace, understood as the absence of war and large-scale violence. It is a narrow understanding of peace because it only suggests an end to open violence and does not investigate or attempt to resolve the underlying causes of violence. On the other hand, the concept of positive peace involves restructuring society to recognize and address the underlying sources of structural conflict and violence. It aims to create an environment where people can coexist without fearing other members of the society or citizens of other states (Galtung, 1969, 1990).

The search for a common definition of peace education is ongoing, as evidenced by the scholarly work dedicated to peace studies and peace education. Deweys democratic schools and classrooms promote active citizenship, Montessori emphasizes pedagogy for child-led learning, and Freire focuses on personal and collective transformation through radical pedagogical practices, setting the tone of this area of scholarship (Bajaj, 2008). It is also suggested that transformation within individuals and their

immediate realities and relationships support the reconstruction of social structures and patterns of thought, leading to the achievement of positive peace (Patti et al., 2008). Peace education is considered a process that supports students in developing values, knowledge, attitudes, skills, and behaviors through socially constructed environments that foster harmony within oneself, with others, and with the natural environment. The students, under the guidance of their trained teachers, engage in encounters within socially constructed settings to learn and practice their skills in critically analyzing the sources and causes of structural violence that perpetuate social, economic, and political injustices and inequalities. These encounters are also aimed at producing the desired effects of realizing the consequences of war and structural injustice, which might encourage students to commit to peace.

Peace education incorporates learning about two dimensions of peace, the first is 'learning about peace' and the second is 'education about peace'. The first dimension focuses on understanding various forms of violence (physical, social, and structural) and their roots, as well as how they impede the establishment of sustainable peace. While, the second dimension focuses on empowering students to actively contribute to peace and conflict resolution (Reardon, 2004). Developed countries define peace as a "state that promotes social justice, harmony, equality before the law, and the absence of war," while developing countries understand it as a state that fosters healthy progress, equal development opportunities, the absence of discrimination, and the absence of war" (Schabasser, 2023, p. 157). The skills emphasized in any peace education program aim to reconstruct desirable social realities by promoting transformation through peaceful change. This includes concepts such as planetary stewardship, global citizenship, and humane relationships, which were identified by Reardon (1988) as conceptual pillars of peace education.

There are numerous academic and research organizations working in the field of peace and peace education. Their approach has been described as "almost fideistic... taken for granted that it is important to believe in peace education" (Page, 2004, p. 5). Kants ideas on peace education, such as 'doing what is morally right' are studied. Further, Calleja (1991) deduced three pillars of peace and peace education: communication, cooperation, and confidence. He connected these three pillars as "peace is not the ultimate objective of human coexistence but the daily driving force towards

more cooperation, more confidence, and more communication" (Calleja, 1991, p. 532). Another perspective on peace education was presented by Reardon (1988, 2004). She emphasized the concept of authentic peace, which is based on international human rights, the promotion of global justice, and the creation of a global civic community. The basic purpose of peace education, as inferred from her writings, is to contribute to the transformation of the social order and its implicit patterns of thought toward authentic peace.

There exists a debate on differentiating between Western and Eastern philosophies of education. Gur-Ze'ev (2010) critiqued the Westernized ideas of peace education, arguing that they comprise merely a tool to conceal hidden agendas, such as glorifying peace education and perpetuating hegemonic power relations. He disputed the flawed Western concepts of peace education, which suggest that peace is the opposite of violence and can be taught, promoted, and should be the sole reason for rationalizing the investment in peace education programs. These programs do not teach students to critically analyze Western domination (ideas, cultures, and lifestyles), making this form of violence, though real and damaging, invisible to peace educators and students. He presented the idea of teaching students to acknowledge and challenge the hegemonic status quo rooted in the so-called 'peaceful co-existence.' Instead, he wanted peace educators to ask, "What kind of togetherness is possible, bearable, or longed-for, and what are the ways to approach such a future?" (Gur-Ze'ev, 2010, p. 333). This adds the element of positive peace, reflecting the Eastern philosophy of peace education, which emphasizes nurturing the most beautiful human being through stimulating dialogue among individuals and with nature. This process triggers revolution or inner t ransformation, fostering an understanding and appreciation of interdependence and collaboration. These values are essential to cultivate global citizens who embody the principles of global citizenship (Goulah, 2023; Urbain, 2009), which comprise the key concepts of a peace education program.

Many studies have explored the philosophical, theoretical, and conceptual frameworks that contribute to shaping the peace education curriculum, classroom practices, and training of peace educators (Gur-Ze-ev, 2001; Harris, 2019; Houghton & John, 2007; Novelli et al., 2015). The peace education programs taught worldwide encompass various concepts, such as communication and cooperation, global citizenship and civilization,

interdependence, community and collaboration, willingness and readiness to adapt to the surroundings, the repercussions of past erroneous decisions, and universal cosmopolitanism. Peace education and student support systems are often discussed separately in the literature; however, they have not been studied yet in an integrated manner (Hume & Campbell, 2019). The literature on peace education reveals the significance of teachers role in developing a support system for students to cultivate a positive attitude towards the requirements of peace education (Johnson & Johnson, 2011). The existing literature on peace education discusses the role and importance of teachers in promoting peace education but their integration within the system is overlooked in the literature. This literature gap emphasizes the need for research beyond identifying obstacles to providing tangible ideas and best practices to bridge theory and practice in school peace education.

Teachers play an important role in creating a support system to foster emotional, intellectual, and social skills, which is also one of the purposes of this study. Students sense the classroom atmosphere through their teachers' pedagogical practices and classroom behaviors and draw inferences about the curricular and co-curricular focus of classroom discussions. Keeping in view the dynamic nature of teachers' classroom practices and behaviors, this study explores how students perceive the role of teachers in fostering peace education in schools. Understanding the relationship between the peace education, teachers' classroom pedagogies, their classroom practices, and the student support systems created in classrooms are crucial to understand students' academic and non-academic needs. This understanding would support teachers in creating an inclusive and vibrant educational environment in their classes contributing to students' academic learning, socio-emotional resilience, and awareness of their surroundings, communities, and the environment. Thus, the aim is to provide valuable insights for the ongoing discussion about reshaping the educational system to foster a more peaceful and socially aware society by creating model societies in classrooms (Parmar, 2014).

The importance of teachers role in transformin g students is irrefutable and they are vital to educational advancement. However, their willingness to adopt peace education initiatives may differ. Research shows that teachers make necessary changes to their pedagogical strategies depending on time, training, and institutional support in the implementation of peace education programs in schools (Cook, 2014). It is also true that the lack of

training and institutional support does not produce the desired results due to the reluctance and unpreparedness of teachers to teach peace education, which is essential for developing the skills needed to foster a culture of peace in students. Peace educators are aware of the correlation among the socio-cultural, emotional, cognitive, and affective domains. Peace education programs are designed to target these domains through the peace curriculum and peace activities. These programs intend to empower students as peace agents. Research suggests that such programs should include comprehensive student support systems that address emotional well-being, interpersonal conflicts, and the unique challenges of a diverse and interconnected world (Llorent et al., 2022). Thus, it is crucial to study how peace education and the creation of student support systems might work together to foster a more inclusive and peaceful educational environment.

Methodology

This research is undertaken to contribute to the ongoing discourse on peace education and how this discourse may support mainstream education to bring about the process of social change by integrating peace education into classroom practices. This research is quantitative and utilizes the survey research design. This approach enables the researchers to gain a deeper insight into the prevailing knowledge by assigning meaningful numerical values to the phenomena under observation. Social sciences also use this approach to take social reality as an objective truth and to measure it scientifically by interpreting the data in different social settings (Mills & Gay, 2016). The researchers used a convenience sampling strategy due to their limited access to schools. Although the authors approached numerous schools, both public and private, only a few schools granted permission for data collection from students. The target sample consisted of 389 students in grades 9 and 10 in the selected schools. The survey questionnaire was distributed to these students and it was ensured that they completed these questionnaires during classes. The researchers eliminated 80 responses during the initial review of the responses collected from students due to errors such as overwriting and selecting multiple options instead of a single answer. As a result, the final number of valid forms for the study was 309.

This research hypothesizes that teachers who effectively use conflict resolution strategies in their educational materials and pedagogy create a learning environment that promotes understanding and dialogue as tools to

resolve conflicts. The impact of teachers in promoting global citizenship among students through peace education is significant since these effects are not absolute for all students. This research proposes that demographics play an important role in shaping students perception of peace education. Firstly, the role of gender is prominent in this regard. It was found that male students demonstrate socialization skills more than their female peers, thus encouraging a spirit of collaboration among team members (Fuselier & Jackson, 2010), hence showing a stronger impact on male students in peace education classes. On the other hand, a study (Cadsby et al., 2013) suggested that female students exhibit their competitive skills more than their cooperative skills. Another factor that controls the impact of peace education on students is their socioeconomic status (SES). Medeiros et al. (2021) concluded that the disposition to new ideas is very high among students from a higher socioeconomic background. This is because they have more opportunities to travel to different parts of the world and interact with diverse groups and cultures. The frequent interactions with audiences from different countries, nationalities, and cultures contribute to their understanding of global issues and their root causes. Moreover, it encourages them to rethink and realign their behaviors and practices and make them inclusive, an important aspect of peace education (Shields et al., 2023).

Results

Quantitative data analysis was conducted and the scale was extracted from earlier studies (Gul et al., 2020). It was then adapted to the local context of this study.

Table 1

Demographic Information of the Participants

Variable	Category	N	%
Age	15-17	309	100
Gender	Male	167	54
	Female	142	46
School Type	Higher Socioeconomic	157	50.8
	Lower Socioeconomic	152	49.2
Class/Grade	9	135	43.7
	10	174	56.3

Table 1 shows that there were more male students in the sample (54%) than female students (46%). Moreover, slightly more participants came from higher socioeconomic schools (50.8%) than lower socioeconomic schools (49.2%). The data also revealed that most correct responses used in data analysis were provided by students enrolled in class 10 (56.3%) than the students enrolled in class 9 (43.7%).

Table 2

Descriptive Analysis and Data Normality (N=309)

	M	SD	Skewness	SE	Kurtosis	SE
Teachers' Role in Peace Education	3.85	0.54	-0.52	0.14	0.63	0.28
Students Perception on Peace Education	3.46	0.64	-0.29	0.14	-0.20	0.28

Table 2 presents statistical information on the two dimensions related to peace education, namely 'Teachers Role in Peace Education (TRPE) and 'Students Perception of Peace Education (SPPE). For TRPE, the mean is 3.85 with a standard deviation of 0.54, indicating a moderate level of agreement among respondents. The skewness and kurtosis values indicate the symmetrical and normal distribution of data. Additionally, the standard errors provide insights into the precision of the estimates. Similarly, for students perceptions, the mean is 3.46 with a slightly higher standard deviation of 0.64, indicating a wider range of responses. The skewness and kurtosis values suggest a normal distribution. These statistical measures provide a quantitative overview of the central tendency, variability, and distribution characteristics for the surveyed dimensions of peace education.

Table 3

Measurement Reliability Test

Variables	Cronbachs Alpha	No of Items
Teachers' Role in Peace Education	0.73	7
Students Perception o f Peace Education	0.81	19

The internal consistency and reliability of the two constructs related to peace education were also measured using Cronbachs alpha (see Table 3). For TRPE, the calculated value of Cronbachs alpha is 0.73, indicating a moderate level of reliability. This suggests that the set of 7 items designed to measure TRPE demonstrates consistent inter-item reliability. Similarly, for SPPE, the higher Cronbachs alpha value of 0.81 suggests a higher level of internal consistency for the 19 items assessing students perceptions. Cronbach's alpha values in both cases exceed the commonly accepted threshold of 0.70, indicating that the scales are reliable and the items within each construct measure a similar underlying trait.

Table 4

Correlation Coefficient

Variables	1	2
1. Teachers' Role in Peace Education	-	.207**
2. Students Perception on Peace Education	.207**	-

The correlation coefficient between TRPE and SPPE is 0.207**. Double asterisks indicate that this correlation is statistically significant. The positive correlation suggests a weak positive relationship between them. While the correlation remains statistically significant, the strength of the relationship is modest, indicating that other factors may also contribute to students perceptions of peace education.

Table 5

ANOVA Table

Predictor	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Regression	5.37	1	5.37	13.77	.000b
Residual	119.70	307	0.39		
Total	125.07	308			

Table 6

Regression Table

Predictor	Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.	95% CI	
	B	SD	Beta			LL	UL
(Constant)	2.52	0.25		9.89	0.00	2.02	3.02
Teachers' Role in Peace Education	0.24	0.07	0.21	3.71	0.00	0.11	0.37

The ANOVA and regression outputs indicate the statistical significance of the model predicting SPPE based on the predictor variable TRPE. The ANOVA table demonstrates that the regression model accounts for a significant amount of variance in the outcome variable, as reflected by the F-statistic of 13.77 ($p < 0.001$). Regression coefficients reveal that the constant term is 2.52, indicating the estimated value of the dependent variable when the predictor variable is zero. The coefficient for TRPE is 0.24, with a standard error of 0.07, indicating a positive relationship. The standardized coefficient (beta) of 0.21 indicates a moderate difference between the groups. The t-value of 3.71 is statistically significant ($p < 0.001$), supporting the conclusion that TRPE significantly predicts SPPE. The 95% confidence interval for the coefficient ranges from 0.11 to 0.37, indicating a plausible range of values for the population parameter. To summarize, the regression model demonstrates that TRPE has a statistically significant and positive impact on SPPE.

Test of Students' Demographics on Students' Perception of Peace Education

Table 7

Students' Perception of Peace Education Practices by Gender

Gender	N	*Mean*	SD	t	*Sig.*
Male	167	3.64	0.54		
Female	142	3.53	0.47	2.00	.003

According to Table 7, a significant difference exists in the scores of male Students ($M = 3.64$, $SD = 0.54$) and female students ($M = 3.53$, $SD = 0.47$); $t(309) = 2$, $p = .003$ regarding SPPE. These results suggest that male

students have a stronger perception of peace education practices than female students.

Table 8

Students' Perception of Peace Education Practices by Class (t-test)

Class	N	Mean	SD	t	Sig.
9th	135	3.64	0.51		
10th	174	3.56	0.50	1.45	.612

According to Table 8, there is no significant difference in the scores of the students studying in 9th grade ($M = 3.64$, $SD = 0.51$) and those studying in 10th grade ($M = 3.56$, $SD = 0.50$); $t(309) = 1.45$, $p = .612$ regarding their perception of practices to promote peace education. These results suggest that students have no difference in perception about the promotion of peace education.

Table 9

Students' Perception about Peace Education Practices by Socioeconomic Status (t-test)

Socioeconomic	N	Mean	SD	t	Sig.	95% CI
High	157	3.58	0.57			
Low	152	3.61	0.44	-0.46	.03	-0.14,0.09

According to Table 9, there is significant difference in the scores of the students studying in high socioeconomic schools ($M = 3.58$, $SD = 0.57$) and low socioeconomic schools ($M = 3.61$, $SD = 0.44$); $t(309) = -.46$, $p = 0.03$ (95% $CI = -0.14, 0.09$) regarding their perception about practices to promote peace education. These results suggest that school type creates a difference in perception about peace education promotion among students in Lahore, Pakistan.

Discussion

This research thoroughly examined the theoretical and analytical aspects of the role of teachers in fostering a positive perception of peace education among Pakistani school students. The ANOVA test results revealed a significant positive relationship between teachers role in fostering a positive perception of peace education in students, with an F-statistic of 13.77 ($p < 0.001$). The findings of this research align with the existing literature suggesting that teachers can influence students views on peace

education (Zembylas & Loukaidis, 2021). Moreover, prospective teachers stressed that teachers who teach peace education must possess the characteristics of a peacemaker. Presumably, peace educators should demonstrate the traits of self-confidence, self-respect, empathy, patience, acceptance, unbiasedness, and approaching a problem with an objective view more often in their everyday lives, in classrooms, in schools, and in different personal interactions outside schools. The demonstration of these traits in different settings, in schools, and outside schools, affect students' behavior and promotes the inculcation of these traits among them (Buchori et al., 2021).

The findings also lead to some suggestions for pre-service and in-service teacher education programs. It is suggested that both pre-service and in-service teacher preparation programs should initiate activities encouraging the development of critical thinking and problem-solving skills in both newly trained teachers and experienced teachers. These skills are important because all countries are faced with a wide range of conflicts (ethnic, religious, cultural) and discrimination. These require genuine solutions both at the individual, societal, and state levels. Indeed, schools are the first place to initiate discussions and to work on such solutions, as they are least affected by political groups. The preservice teacher education programs and in-service teacher training sessions should equip teachers with the knowledge and skills required to develop the skills and dispositions needed for the holistic development of students, as well as the development of a holistic worldview (Anderson, 2021; Higgins & Novelli, 2020).

This study showed a stronger disposition towards peace education among male students than female students. The former were open to engage in activities aimed at developing cooperation, coordination, and team-building attributes. Hence, it is argued that teacher educators and teacher trainers should use sports-based activities or activities requiring physical movement to develop cooperation, coordination, and team-building attributes among students. Since male students are more open to such activities than their female peers, it suggests that these activities have a higher level of acceptance and participation among them (Durdu, 2020; Prensky, 2016).

Literature suggests that socioeconomic status (SES) is another factor that contributes to the acceptance of peace education activities in the classroom. This is because students from higher SES are more open to

accepting differences since they have more opportunities to travel, meet, and interact with different nationalities, ethnicities, religious, and cultural groups, as compared to the students with lower SES (Shields et al., 2023). However, the findings of this research suggest that in the modern era, peace education has become a global phenomenon and all students have developed a positive perception of peace education. Moreover, schools of all types are more concerned about global citizenship and peace education. The curriculum, teachers, and the staff in their entirety are concerned about incorporating peace education skills and values into the students. This study also found that junior and senior classes have the same perception level of peace education. Although it was expected that the senior class would have a higher perception of peace education, the data showed contrary results. This indicates that modern institutions are promoting peace education practices from an early age. Therefore, the perception of peace education is consistent among 9th and 10th grade students.

Theory Implications

This study sheds light on the influence of teachers on students perceptions of peace education, which has significant theoretical implications. The findings extend the theoretical frameworks that show how peace-oriented teachers can influence education (McConnell et al., 2021). This research enhances the theoretical discourses on the socializing role of educational institutions by demonstrating that teachers actively engaged in peace education significantly influence students perspectives. It reinforces the idea that instructors transmit societal values, which helps to understand how educational dynamics shape individual views on important social issues. Arguably, classrooms reflect the miniature version of the society and the global world (Tattersall, 2020) where all kinds of differences, including religious, ethnic, socioeconomic, and sociocultural differences, are visible. The teachers are the force in classrooms that shapes and influences students behaviors, dispositions, attitudes, and worldviews, resulting in their holistic development. This entails the inculcation of pluralistic and inclusive worldviews, demonstrating the values of acceptance, objectivity in analyzing a problem, and finding its solution (Zainal et al., 2021).

Practical Implications

This research highlights the importance of integrating peace education programs and peace education activities into the formal school curriculum,

broadening the scope of such programs and activities planned by schools and teachers. The activities may comprise curricular and co-curricular workshops and sessions for teachers to support them in their pedagogical practices that inculcate critical thinking through critical peace pedagogical practices. This research also recommends teacher education institutes to prepare prospective teachers equipped with sufficient skills to use their pedagogical practices to cultivate inclusive worldviews and promote conflict resolution strategies through their formal teacher education curriculum and cocurricular activities and workshops.

Limitations and Future Research Directions

This study is a valuable contribution to the already existing research on teachers engagement with students in order to inculcate in them the values of inclusivity, acceptance of diversity, and empathy through the use of critical pedagogy practices in classrooms. This study also recommends that longitudinal studies help researchers to explore the relationship between teachers' peace education and pedagogical practices and students' perceptions and receptions of values communicated to them through classroom pedagogical practices. Although this study used a survey questionnaire to measure students' perceptions of peace education and teachers' role in peace education, other researchers could integrate quantitative data with qualitative data (observations and interviews) to triangulate both types of data in order to supplement the findings from the quantitative data. It is also recommended that future research should expand the scope of the current research from classroom pedagogical practices and include other factors contributing to shaping students' values and skill-set. A comparative analysis across cultural and educational contexts would illuminate how cultural and institutional differences affect teachers peace education, making it more internationally applicable.

Conclusion

To summarize, the teachers' pedagogical practices play a key role in shaping values such as empathy, collaborative working, social justice, inclusivity, and acceptance of diversity in classroom settings. Moreover, it was established that students gender and SES influenced their disposition to the messages of peace communicated to them through the selection of

pedagogical practices and their effective use. Furthermore, there is a need to incorporate peace values in the preservice teacher education programs and in-service training sessions for teachers, equipping them with the skills to cultivate inclusive values needed to live, study, and work in diverse classrooms and a diverse world.

Conflict of Interest

The author of the manuscript has no financial or non-financial conflict of interest in the subject matter or materials discussed in this manuscript.

Data Availability Statement

The data associated with this study will be provided by the corresponding author upon request.

Funding Details

No funding has been received for this research.

References

Anderson, L. (2021). Student approaches to learning in human rights education: Supporting deep and transformative learning in postgraduate peace and conflict studies. In B. Offord, C. Fleay, L. Hartley, Y. G. Woldeyes, & D. Chan (Eds.), *Activating cultural and social change* (pp. 197–211). Routledge.

Arslan, Y., Günçavdı, G., & Polat, S. (2015). The impact of peace education programme at university on university students' intercultural sensitivity. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, *174*, 2301–2307.

Bajaj, M. (2008). Critical" peace education. In M. Bajaj (Ed.), *Encyclopedia of peace education*, (pp. 135–146). Information Age Publishing.

Buchori, S., Kartadinata, S., Yusuf, S., Fakhri, N., & Adiputra, S. (2021). Developing a framework peace education for primary school teachers in Indonesia. *International Journal of Learning, Teaching and Educational Research*, *20*(8), 227–239. https://doi.org/10.26803/ijlter.20.8.14

Cadsby, C. B., Servátka, M., & Song, F. (2013). How competitive are female professionals? A tale of identity conflict. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization*, *92*, 284–303. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jebo.2013.05.009

Calleja, J. J. (1991). *A Kantian epistemology of education and peace: An examination of concepts and values* [Unpublished dissertation]. University of Bradford.

Cook, S. A. (2014). Reflections of a peace educator: The power and challenges of peace education with pre-service teachers. *Curriculum Inquiry*, *44*(4), 489–507. https://doi.org/10.1111/curi.12058

Davies, L. (2006). Global citizenship: Abstraction or framework for action? *Educational Review*, *58*(1), 5–25. https://doi.org/10.1080/00131910500352523

Durdu, L. (2020). Digital games for peace education. In S. Polat, & G. Günçavdı (Eds.), *Empowering multiculturalism and peacebuilding in schools* (pp. 238–269). IGI Global.

Fuselier, L., & Jackson, J. K. (2010). Perceptions of collaboration, equity and values in science among female and male college students. *Journal of Baltic Science Education*, *9*(2), 109–118.

Galtung, J. (1969). Violence, peace, and peace research. *Journal of Peace Research*, *6*(3), 167–191. https://doi.org/10.1177/002234336900600301

Galtung, J. (1990). Cultural violence. *Journal of Peace Research*, *27*(3), 291–305. https://doi.org/10.1177/0022343390027003005

Goulah, J. (2023). Daisaku Ikeda: Introduction to the man, his influences, and educational thought. In B. A. Geier (Ed.), *The palgrave handbook of educational thinkers* (pp. 1–18). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-81037-5_210-3

Gul, F., Yousaf, A., Masood, S., & Naheed, F. (2020). Perceived satisfaction of teachers about practices of leaders of religious institutes to promote peace education. *Journal of Islamic Thought and Civilization*, *10*(2), 268–289. https://doi.org/10.32350/jitc.102.15

Gur-Ze-ev, I. (2001). Philosophy of peace education in a postmodern era. *Educational Theory*, *51*(3), 315–336.

Gur-Ze'ev, I. (2010). 12 Philosophy of peace education in a postmetaphysical era. In G. Salomon & E. Cairns (Eds.), *Handbook on peace education* (pp. 171–185). Psychology Press.

Hajir, B., & Kester, K. (2020). Toward a decolonial praxis in critical peace education: Postcolonial insights and pedagogic possibilities. *Studies in Philosophy and Education*, *39*(5), 515–532. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11217-020-09707-y.

Hancock, L. E., & Solomon, J. (2020). Meanings of peace. In F. O. Hampson, A. Özerdem, & J. Kent (Eds.), *Routledge Handbook of peace, security and development* (pp. 9–20). Routledge.

Harris, I. M. (2019). A philosophic framework for peace education. In M. Brown & K. G. Brown (Eds.), *Nonviolence: Critiquing assumptions, examining frameworks* (pp. 127–144). Brill Ropodi. https://doi.org/10.1163/9789004385252_011

Higgins, S., & Novelli, M. (2020). Rethinking peace education: A cultural political economy approach. *Comparative Education Review*, *64*(1), 1–20. https://doi.org/10.1086/706759.

Houghton, T., & John, V. (2007). Toward sustainable peace education: Theoretical and methodological frameworks of a program in South Africa. In Z. Bekerman & C. McGlynn (Eds.), *Addressing ethnic conflict through peace education: international perspectives* (pp. 187–199). Palgrave Macmillan. https://doi.org/10.1057/9780230603585_14.

Hume, K., & Campbell, J. M. (2019). Peer interventions for students with autism spectrum disorder in school settings: Introduction to the special issue. *School Psychology Review*, *48*(2), 115–122. https://doi.org/10.17105/SPR-2018-0081.V48-2.

Johnson, D. W., & Johnson, R. T. (2011). Peace education in the classroom: Creating effective peace education programs. In G. Salomon & E. Cairns (Eds.), *Handbook on peace education* (pp. 223–240). Psychology Press.

Llorent, V. J., González-Gómez, A. L., Farrington, D. P., & Zych, I. (2022). Improving literacy competence and social and emotional competencies in primary education through cooperative project-based learning. *Psicothema*, *34*(1), 102–109. https://doi.org/10.7334/psicothema2020.372.

McConnell, J. M., Liu, T., Brown, E. M., Fort, C. J., Azcuna, D. R., Tabiolo, C. A. M., Kibble, C. D. M., & Winslow, A. B. (2021). The Multicultural peace and justice collaborative: Critical peace education in a research

training environment. *Peace and Conflict: Journal of Peace Psychology*, *27*(2), 191–202. https://doi.org/10.1037/pac0000539

Medeiros, A., Buttazzoni, A., Coen, S. E., Clark, A. F., Wilson, K., & Gilliland, J. (2021). Review of gender, socioeconomic status, and ethnic background considerations reported in active school travel intervention studies. *Journal of Transport & Health*, *21*, Article e101035. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jth.2021.101035

Mills, G. E., & Gay, L. R. (2016). *Educational research: Competencies for analysis and applications* (11th ed.). Pearson.

Novelli, M., Lopes Cardozo, M., & Smith, A. (2015). *A theoretical framework for analysing the contribution of education to sustainable peacebuilding: 4Rs in conflict-affected contexts*. Research Consortium Education and Peacebuilding. https://pure.uva.nl/ws/files/44045793/2591292.pdf

Page, J. S. (2004). Peace education: Exploring some philosophical foundations. *International Review of Education*, *50*(1), 3–15. https://doi.org/10.1023/B:REVI.0000018226.19305.6c

Parmar, R. M. (2014). Role of teacher for peace education. *The International Journal of Indian Psychology*, *2*(2), 1–8.

Patti, J., Sermeno, S., & Martin, C. (2008). Peace Education, International Trends. In L. Kurtz (Ed.), *Encyclopedia of violence, peace, & conflict* (pp. 1466–1477). Academic Press. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-012373985-8.00126-4.

Prensky, M. (2016). *Education to better their world: Unleashing the power of 21st-century kids*. Teachers College Press.

Reardon, B. A. (1988). *Comprehensive peace education: Educating for global responsibility*. Teachers College Press.

Reardon, B. A. (2004). Peace education: A review and projection. In M. Ben-Peretz, S. Brown, & B. Moon (Eds.), *Routledge international companion to education* (pp. 397–425). Routledge.

Richmond, O. P. (2023). *Peace: A very short introduction*. Oxford University Press.

Risberg, E. J. (2022). Fostering empathy in global citizenship education: Necessary, desirable, or simply misguided? *Educational Theory*, *72*(5), 553–573. https://doi.org/10.1111/edth.12546.

Sagkal, A. S., Turnuklu, A., & Totan, T. (2012). Empathy for interpersonal peace: Effects of peace education on empathy skills. *Educational Sciences: Theory and Practice*, *12*(2), 1454–1460.

Schabasser, C. (2023, November 15–17). *Peace Education: More than a utopic pedagogy?* [Paper presentation]. Proceedings of 15th London International Conference on Education. London, UK.

Shields, L., Newman, A., & Satz, D. (2023). *Equality of educational opportunity*. Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy. https://plato.stanford.edu/archives/win2023/entries/equal-ed-opportunity/

Snauwaert, D. T. (2020). The peace education imperative: A democratic rationale for peace education as a civic duty. *Journal of Peace Education*, *17*(1), 48–60. https://doi.org/10.1080/17400201.2020.1713068

Tattersall, A. (2020). *Power in coalition: Strategies for strong unions and social change*. Routledge.

Urbain, O. (2009). *Daisaku Ikedas philosophy of peace: Human revolution, dialogue and global civilization* [Doctoral dissertation, University of Bradford]. Bradford Scholar. https://bradscholars.brad.ac.uk/handle/10454/3354

Zainal, S., Yunus, S., Jalil, F., & Khairi, A. (2021). The policy of local government to implement peace education at secondary school post armed conflict in Aceh Indonesia. *Journal of Social Studies Education Research*, *12*(2), 377–409.

Zembylas, M., & Loukaidis, L. (2021). Affective practices, difficult histories and peace education: An analysis of teachers' affective dilemmas in ethnically divided Cyprus. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, *97*, Article e103225. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2020.103225